

A New Type of p -Ideals in BCI -Algebras Based on Hesitant Fuzzy Sets

Y.B. Jun

Abstract. Using the concept of hesitant union (\cup), the notion of \cup -hesitant fuzzy p -ideals is introduced, and their properties are investigated. Relations between \cup -hesitant fuzzy ideals and \cup -hesitant fuzzy p -ideals are considered. Conditions for the \cup -hesitant fuzzy ideal to be a \cup -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal are provided. The reduction property for \cup -hesitant fuzzy p -ideals is established.

Key Words and Phrases: hesitant union, \cup -hesitant fuzzy ideal, \cup -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal.

2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications: 06F35, 03G25, 06D72

1. Introduction

As a generalization of fuzzy sets, Torra introduced the notion of hesitant fuzzy sets (see [9, 10]), and it is a very useful tool to deal with uncertainty, which can be accurately and perfectly described in terms of the opinions of decision makers. Xu and Xia [14] proposed a variety of distance measures for hesitant fuzzy sets, based on which the corresponding similarity measures can be obtained. They investigated the connections of the aforementioned distance measures and further developed a number of hesitant ordered weighted distance measures and hesitant ordered weighted similarity measures. Xu and Xia [15] defined the distance and correlation measures for hesitant fuzzy information and then discussed their properties in detail. Also, hesitant fuzzy set theory is used in decision making problem etc. (see [7, 11, 12, 13, 15]), and is applied to BCK/BCI -algebras, EQ -algebras, residuated lattices and MTL -algebras (see [2, 3, 4, 6]). In [8], Song et al. introduced the notion of \cup -hesitant fuzzy subalgebras and \cup -hesitant fuzzy ideals, and investigated several properties.

In this paper, we introduce the notion of \mathfrak{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideals in BCI -algebras and investigate several properties. We consider relations between \mathfrak{U} -hesitant fuzzy ideals and \mathfrak{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideals, and provide conditions for the \mathfrak{U} -hesitant fuzzy ideal to be a \mathfrak{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal. We establish the reduction property for \mathfrak{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideals.

2. Preliminaries

A BCK/BCI -algebra is an important class of logical algebras introduced by K. Iséki and was extensively investigated by several researchers.

An algebra $(X; *, 0)$ of type $(2, 0)$ is called a BCI -algebra if it satisfies the following conditions:

- (I) $(\forall x, y, z \in X) (((x * y) * (x * z)) * (z * y) = 0),$
- (II) $(\forall x, y \in X) ((x * (x * y)) * y = 0),$
- (III) $(\forall x \in X) (x * x = 0),$
- (IV) $(\forall x, y \in X) (x * y = 0, y * x = 0 \Rightarrow x = y).$

If a BCI -algebra X satisfies the following identity:

$$(V) (\forall x \in X) (0 * x = 0),$$

then X is called a BCK -algebra.

Any BCK/BCI -algebra X satisfies the following conditions:

$$(\forall x \in X) (x * 0 = x), \tag{1}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X) (x \leq y \Rightarrow x * z \leq y * z, z * y \leq z * x), \tag{2}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X) ((x * y) * z = (x * z) * y), \tag{3}$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X) ((x * z) * (y * z) \leq x * y) \tag{4}$$

where $x \leq y$ if and only if $x * y = 0$.

Any BCI -algebra X satisfies the following conditions:

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X) (0 * (0 * ((x * z) * (y * z))) = (0 * y) * (0 * x)), \tag{5}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X) (0 * (0 * (x * y)) = (0 * y) * (0 * x)), \tag{6}$$

$$(\forall x \in X) (0 * (0 * (0 * x)) = 0 * x). \tag{7}$$

A BCI -algebra X is said to be p -semisimple (see [1]) if $0 * (0 * x) = x$ for all $x \in X$.

Every p -semisimple BCI -algebra X satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X) ((x * z) * (y * z) = x * y). \quad (8)$$

A subset A of a BCK/BCI -algebra X is called an *ideal* of X if it satisfies:

$$0 \in A, \quad (9)$$

$$(\forall x \in X) (x * y \in A, y \in A \Rightarrow x \in A). \quad (10)$$

A subset A of a BCI -algebra X is called a p -*ideal* of X (see [16]) if it satisfies (9) and

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X) ((x * z) * (y * z) \in A, y \in A \Rightarrow x \in A). \quad (11)$$

Note that an ideal A of a BCI -algebra X is a p -ideal of X if and only if the following assertion is valid (see [16]):

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X) ((x * z) * (y * z) \in A \Rightarrow x * y \in A). \quad (12)$$

We refer the reader to the books [1, 5] for further information regarding BCK/BCI -algebras.

A hesitant fuzzy set on a reference set X (see [9]) is defined in terms of a function \mathcal{G} that when applied to X returns a subset of $[0, 1]$, that is, $\mathcal{G} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$.

Given a hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{G} on X , we define $\text{Inf}\mathcal{G}$ as follows:

$$\text{Inf}\mathcal{G}(x) = \begin{cases} \text{minimum of } \mathcal{G}(x) & \text{if } \mathcal{G}(x) \text{ is finite,} \\ \text{infimum of } \mathcal{G}(x) & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases} \quad (13)$$

for all $x \in X$. It is obvious that $\text{Inf}\mathcal{G}$ is fuzzy set in X .

For a hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{G} on X and $x, y \in X$, we define

$$\mathcal{G}(x) \uplus \mathcal{G}(y) := \{t \in \mathcal{G}(x) \cup \mathcal{G}(y) \mid t \geq \max\{\text{Inf}\mathcal{G}(x), \text{Inf}\mathcal{G}(y)\}\}. \quad (14)$$

We say that $\mathcal{G}(x) \uplus \mathcal{G}(y)$ is the *hesitant union* of $\mathcal{G}(x)$ and $\mathcal{G}(y)$. Note that the following assertions are always true:

$$\mathcal{G}(x) \uplus \mathcal{G}(x) = \mathcal{G}(x), \quad (15)$$

$$\mathcal{G}(a) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(x), \mathcal{G}(b) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(y) \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}(a) \uplus \mathcal{G}(b) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(x) \uplus \mathcal{G}(y) \quad (16)$$

for all $a, b, x, y \in X$. For any hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{G} on X and $\tau \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$, we consider the set

$$L(\mathcal{G}, \tau) := \{x \in X \mid \mathcal{G}(x) \subseteq \tau\},$$

which is called the *lower hesitant τ -level set* of \mathcal{G} on X .

A hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{G} on a BCK/BCI -algebra X is called a *hesitant fuzzy ideal* of X based on the hesitant union (\cup) (briefly, \cup -hesitant fuzzy ideal of X) (see [8]) if it satisfies:

$$(\forall x \in X) (\mathcal{G}(0) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(x)), \tag{17}$$

$$(\forall x, y \in X) (\mathcal{G}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(x * y) \cup \mathcal{G}(y)). \tag{18}$$

3. p -ideals of BCI -algebras based on the hesitant union

In what follows, let X denote a BCI -algebra unless otherwise specified.

Definition 1. A hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{G} on X is called a *hesitant fuzzy p -ideal* of a BCI -algebra X based on the hesitant union (\cup) (briefly, \cup -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal of X) if it satisfies (17) and

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X) (\mathcal{G}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{G}((x * z) * (y * z)) \cup \mathcal{G}(y)). \tag{19}$$

Example 1. Let $X = \{0, a, b, c\}$ be a BCI -algebra with the following Cayley table (see [1]):

$*$	0	a	b	c
0	0	a	b	c
a	a	0	c	b
b	b	c	0	a
c	c	b	a	0.

Define a hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{G} on X as follows:

$$\mathcal{G} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}([0, 1]), \quad x \mapsto \begin{cases} \{0.4, 0.45\} \cup (0.5, 0.7) & \text{if } x \in \{0, c\} \\ [0.4, 0.7] & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

It is routine to verify that \mathcal{G} is a \cup -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal of X .

Theorem 1. Every \cup -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal of X is a \cup -hesitant fuzzy ideal of X .

Proof. Let \mathcal{G} be a \cup -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal of X . Since $x * 0 = x$ for all $x \in X$, taking $z := 0$ in (19) yields

$$\mathcal{G}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{G}((x * 0) * (y * 0)) \cup \mathcal{G}(y) = \mathcal{G}(x * y) \cup \mathcal{G}(y)$$

for all $x, y \in X$. Therefore \mathcal{G} is a \cup -hesitant fuzzy ideal of X .

The converse of Theorem 1 is not true in general as seen in the following example.

Example 2. Consider a BCI-algebra $X = \{0, 1, 2, a, b\}$ with the following Cayley table (see [1]):

$*$	0	1	2	a	b
0	0	0	0	a	a
1	1	0	1	b	a
2	2	2	0	a	a
a	a	a	a	0	0
b	b	a	b	1	0.

Define a hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{G} on X as follows:

$$\mathcal{G} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}([0, 1]), \quad x \mapsto \begin{cases} \{0.4\} \cup [0.5, 0.6] \cup \{0.7\} & \text{if } x \in \{0, 2, a\}, \\ [0.4, 0.6] \cup \{0.7\} & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

Then \mathcal{G} is a \mathfrak{U} -hesitant fuzzy ideal of X . But it is not a \mathfrak{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal of X since

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}((b * b) * (a * b)) \mathfrak{U} \mathcal{G}(a) &= \mathcal{G}(0) \mathfrak{U} \mathcal{G}(a) \\ &= \{t \in \mathcal{G}(0) \cup \mathcal{G}(a) \mid t \geq \max\{\text{Inf}\mathcal{G}(0), \text{Inf}\mathcal{G}(a)\}\} \\ &= \{t \in \{0.4\} \cup [0.5, 0.6] \cup \{0.7\} \mid t \geq 0.4\} \\ &= \{0.4\} \cup [0.5, 0.6] \cup \{0.7\} \not\subseteq [0.4, 0.6] \cup \{0.7\} = \mathcal{G}(b). \end{aligned}$$

Lemma 1 ([8]). Every \mathfrak{U} -hesitant fuzzy ideal \mathcal{G} of X satisfies:

$$(\forall x, y \in X) (x \leq y \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(y)), \quad (20)$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X) (x * y \leq z \Rightarrow \mathcal{G}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(y) \mathfrak{U} \mathcal{G}(z)). \quad (21)$$

Proposition 1. Every \mathfrak{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal \mathcal{G} of X satisfies the following assertion:

$$(\forall x \in X) (\mathcal{G}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(0 * (0 * x))). \quad (22)$$

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X) (\mathcal{G}((x * z) * (y * z)) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(x * y)). \quad (23)$$

Proof. Let \mathcal{G} be a \mathfrak{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal of X . If we put $z := x$ and $y := 0$ in (19), then

$$\mathcal{G}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{G}((x * x) * (0 * x)) \mathfrak{U} \mathcal{G}(0) = \mathcal{G}(0 * (0 * x)) \mathfrak{U} \mathcal{G}(0) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(0 * (0 * x))$$

for all $x \in X$ by (III), (17), (15) and (16). Thus (22) holds.

Note that \mathcal{G} is a \mathfrak{U} -hesitant fuzzy ideal of X by Theorem 1. Since $(x * z) * (y * z) \leq x * y$ for all $x, y, z \in X$, it follows from (20) that

$$\mathcal{G}((x * z) * (y * z)) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(x * y),$$

which proves (23).

Given a hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{G} on X , we consider the following inclusion:

$$(\forall x, y, z \in X) (\mathcal{G}(x * y) \subseteq \mathcal{G}((x * z) * (y * z))). \tag{24}$$

The following example shows that there is a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy ideal \mathcal{G} of X which does not satisfy the condition (24).

Example 3. Let $X = \{0, 1, 2, a, b\}$ be a BCI -algebra with the following Cayley table (see [5]):

$*$	0	1	2	a	b
0	0	0	0	a	a
1	1	0	0	a	a
2	2	2	0	b	a
a	a	a	a	0	0
b	b	b	a	2	0.

Define a hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{G} on X as follows:

$$\mathcal{G} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}([0, 1]), \quad x \mapsto \begin{cases} \{0.4, 0.45, 0.5\} & \text{if } x = 0, \\ \{0.4\} \cup [0.45, 0.5] & \text{if } x = 1, \\ \{0.4\} \cup [0.45, 0.6) & \text{if } x = 2, \\ [0.4, 0.5] & \text{if } x = a, \\ [0.4, 0.6] & \text{if } x = b. \end{cases}$$

It is routine to verify that \mathcal{G} is a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy ideal of X . But \mathcal{G} does not satisfy the condition (24) because

$$\mathcal{G}(2 * a) = \mathcal{G}(b) = [0.4, 0.6] \supset [0.4, 0.5] = \mathcal{G}((2 * 2) * (a * 2)).$$

We provide conditions for a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy ideal to be a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal.

Theorem 2. If a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy ideal \mathcal{G} of X satisfies the condition (24), then it is a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal of X .

Proof. Let \mathcal{G} be a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy ideal of X satisfying the condition (24). Then

$$\mathcal{G}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(x * y) \cup \mathcal{G}(y) \subseteq \mathcal{G}((x * z) * (y * z)) \cup \mathcal{G}(y)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$ by (18) and (16). Therefore \mathcal{G} is a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal of X .

Lemma 2 ([8]). *Every \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy ideal \mathcal{G} of X satisfies the following assertion:*

$$(\forall x \in X) (\mathcal{G}(0 * (0 * x)) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(x)). \quad (25)$$

Theorem 3. *If a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy ideal \mathcal{G} of X satisfies the condition (22), then it is a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal of X .*

Proof. Let $x, y, z \in X$. Using (22), (6), (5) and Lemma 2, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(x * y) &\subseteq \mathcal{G}(0 * (0 * (x * y))) = \mathcal{G}((0 * y) * (0 * x)) \\ &= \mathcal{G}(0 * (0 * ((x * z) * (y * z)))) \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{G}((x * z) * (y * z)). \end{aligned}$$

It follows from Theorem 2 that \mathcal{G} is a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal of X .

Theorem 4. *In a p -semisimple BCI-algebra, every \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy ideal is a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal.*

Proof. Let \mathcal{G} be a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy ideal of a p -semisimple BCI-algebra X . Using (18) and (8), we have

$$\mathcal{G}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(x * y) \mathbb{U} \mathcal{G}(y) = \mathcal{G}((x * z) * (y * z)) \mathbb{U} \mathcal{G}(y)$$

for all $x, y, z \in X$. Therefore \mathcal{G} is a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal of X .

Corollary 1. *In a BCI-algebra X in which its BCK-part is $\{0\}$, every \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy ideal is a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal.*

Corollary 2. *In a BCI-algebra X in which every element is minimal, every \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy ideal is a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal.*

Corollary 3. *Let X be a BCI-algebra in which any one of the following conditions is true:*

- (1) $(x * y) * (z * u) = (x * z) * (y * u)$,
- (2) $0 * (y * x) = x * y$,
- (3) $(x * y) * (x * z) = z * y$,
- (4) $z * x = z * y \Rightarrow x = y$,
- (5) $x * y = 0 \Rightarrow x = y$

for all $x, y, z, u \in X$. Then every \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy ideal is a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal.

Lemma 3 ([8]). *If \mathcal{G} is a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy ideal of X , then the lower hesitant τ -level set $L(\mathcal{G}, \tau)$ of \mathcal{G} on X is an ideal of X for all $\tau \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ with $L(\mathcal{G}, \tau) \neq \emptyset$.*

Lemma 4 ([16]). *An ideal A of X is a p -ideal of X if and only if it satisfies*

$$(\forall x \in X) (0 * (0 * x) \in A \Rightarrow x \in A). \tag{26}$$

Theorem 5. *If \mathcal{G} is a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal of X , then the lower hesitant τ -level set $L(\mathcal{G}, \tau)$ of \mathcal{G} on X is a p -ideal of X for all $\tau \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ with $L(\mathcal{G}, \tau) \neq \emptyset$.*

Proof. Let $\tau \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ be such that $L(\mathcal{G}, \tau) \neq \emptyset$. If \mathcal{G} is a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal of X , then it is a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy ideal of X , and so $L(\mathcal{G}, \tau)$ is an ideal of X by Lemma 3. Assume that $0 * (0 * x) \in L(\mathcal{G}, \tau)$ for all $x \in X$. Then $\mathcal{G}(0 * (0 * x)) \subseteq \tau$, which implies by (22) that $\mathcal{G}(x) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(0 * (0 * x)) \subseteq \tau$. Hence $x \in L(\mathcal{G}, \tau)$, and thus $L(\mathcal{G}, \tau)$ is a p -ideal of X by Lemma 4.

Corollary 4. *If \mathcal{G} is a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy ideal of a p -semisimple BCI -algebra X , then the lower hesitant τ -level set $L(\mathcal{G}, \tau)$ of \mathcal{G} on X is a p -ideal of X for all $\tau \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ with $L(\mathcal{G}, \tau) \neq \emptyset$.*

Corollary 5. *Let X be a BCI -algebra in which at least one of the five conditions in Corollary 3 is true. If \mathcal{G} is a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy ideal of X , then the lower hesitant τ -level set $L(\mathcal{G}, \tau)$ of \mathcal{G} on X is a p -ideal of X for all $\tau \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ with $L(\mathcal{G}, \tau) \neq \emptyset$.*

The following example shows that the converse of Theorem 5 is not true in general.

Example 4. *Consider a BCI -algebra $X = \{0, 1, a, b, c\}$ with the following Cayley table (see [1]):*

$*$	0	1	a	b	c
0	0	0	a	b	c
1	1	0	a	b	c
a	a	a	0	c	b
b	b	b	c	0	a
c	c	c	b	a	0

Let \mathcal{G} be a hesitant fuzzy set on X given as follows:

$$\mathcal{G} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}([0, 1]), \quad x \mapsto \begin{cases} (0.5, 0.6) & \text{if } x \in \{0, 1\}, \\ [0.5, 0.6] \cup \{0.7\} & \text{if } x = a, \\ \{0.4\} \cup [0.5, 0.7] & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

Then we have

$$L(\mathcal{G}, \tau) = \begin{cases} \{0, 1\} & \text{if } (0.5, 0.6) \subseteq \tau \text{ and } [0.5, 0.6] \cup \{0.7\} \not\subseteq \tau, \\ \{0, 1, a\} & \text{if } [0.5, 0.6] \cup \{0.7\} \subseteq \tau \text{ and } \{0.4\} \cup [0.5, 0.7] \not\subseteq \tau, \\ X & \text{if } \{0.4\} \cup [0.5, 0.7] \subseteq \tau, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

and so $L(\mathcal{G}, \tau)$ is a p -ideal of X for all $\tau \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ with $L(\mathcal{G}, \tau) \neq \emptyset$. Note that $\mathcal{G}(0) \subseteq \mathcal{G}(x)$ for all $x \in X$. But $\mathcal{G}(b) = \{0.4\} \cup [0.5, 0.7]$ and

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}((b * a) * (1 * a)) \uplus \mathcal{G}(1) &= \mathcal{G}(b) \uplus \mathcal{G}(1) \\ &= \{t \in \mathcal{G}(b) \cup \mathcal{G}(1) \mid t \geq \max\{\text{Inf}\mathcal{G}(b), \text{Inf}\mathcal{G}(1)\}\} \\ &= \{t \in \{0.4\} \cup [0.5, 0.7] \mid t \geq \max\{0.4, 0.5\}\} \\ &= [0.5, 0.7], \end{aligned}$$

and thus $\mathcal{G}(b) \not\subseteq \mathcal{G}((b * a) * (1 * a)) \uplus \mathcal{G}(1)$. Therefore \mathcal{G} is not a \uplus -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal of X .

We provide a condition for the converse of Theorem 5 to be true.

Theorem 6. Let \mathcal{G} be a hesitant fuzzy set on X satisfying the condition

$$(\forall x, y \in X) (\mathcal{G}(x) \uplus \mathcal{G}(y) = \mathcal{G}(x) \cup \mathcal{G}(y)). \quad (27)$$

If the lower hesitant τ -level set $L(\mathcal{G}, \tau)$ of \mathcal{G} on X is a p -ideal of X for all $\tau \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ with $L(\mathcal{G}, \tau) \neq \emptyset$, then \mathcal{G} is a \uplus -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal of X .

Proof. For any $x \in X$, let $\mathcal{G}(x) = \tau_x$. Then $x \in L(\mathcal{G}, \tau_x)$, and so $L(\mathcal{G}, \tau_x)$ is a p -ideal of X by assumption. Thus $0 \in L(\mathcal{G}, \tau_x)$, and hence $\mathcal{G}(0) \subseteq \tau_x = \mathcal{G}(x)$. For any $x, y, z \in X$, taking $\tau = \mathcal{G}((x * z) * (y * z)) \cup \mathcal{G}(y)$ yields

$$(x * z) * (y * z) \in L(\mathcal{G}, \tau) \text{ and } y \in L(\mathcal{G}, \tau).$$

Hence $x \in L(\mathcal{G}, \tau)$, and so

$$\mathcal{G}(x) \subseteq \tau = \mathcal{G}((x * z) * (y * z)) \cup \mathcal{G}(y) = \mathcal{G}((x * z) * (y * z)) \uplus \mathcal{G}(y)$$

by using the condition (27). Therefore \mathcal{G} is a \uplus -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal of X .

Theorem 7. Given a nonempty proper subset A of X , define a hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{G} on X as follows:

$$\mathcal{G} : X \rightarrow \mathcal{P}([0, 1]), \quad x \mapsto \begin{cases} \tau_1 & \text{if } x \in A, \\ \tau_2 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases} \quad (28)$$

where $\tau_1, \tau_2 \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ with $\tau_1 \subsetneq \tau_2$. If \mathcal{G} is a \uplus -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal of X , then A is a p -ideal of X .

Proof. Note that

$$L(\mathcal{G}, \tau) = \begin{cases} A & \text{if } \tau_1 \subseteq \tau \text{ and } \tau_2 \not\subseteq \tau, \\ X & \text{if } \tau_2 \subseteq \tau, \\ \emptyset & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

If \mathcal{G} is a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal of X , then $L(\mathcal{G}, \tau)$ is a p -ideal of X for all $\tau \in \mathcal{P}([0, 1])$ with $L(\mathcal{G}, \tau) \neq \emptyset$ by Theorem 5. Hence A should be a p -ideal of X .

Lemma 5. *If $\text{Inf}\tau_1 = \text{Inf}\tau_2 \in \tau_1 \cap \tau_2$, then the hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{G} in Theorem 7 satisfies the condition (27).*

Proof. Let $x, y \in X$. If $x, y \in A$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(x) \mathbb{U} \mathcal{G}(y) &= \{t \in \mathcal{G}(x) \cup \mathcal{G}(y) \mid t \geq \max\{\text{Inf}\mathcal{G}(x), \text{Inf}\mathcal{G}(y)\}\} \\ &= \{t \in \tau_1 \mid t \geq \text{Inf}\tau_1\} \\ &= \tau_1 = \mathcal{G}(x) \cup \mathcal{G}(y). \end{aligned}$$

If $x, y \in X \setminus A$, then

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(x) \mathbb{U} \mathcal{G}(y) &= \{t \in \mathcal{G}(x) \cup \mathcal{G}(y) \mid t \geq \max\{\text{Inf}\mathcal{G}(x), \text{Inf}\mathcal{G}(y)\}\} \\ &= \{t \in \tau_2 \mid t \geq \text{Inf}\tau_2\} \\ &= \tau_2 = \mathcal{G}(x) \cup \mathcal{G}(y). \end{aligned}$$

If $x \in A$ and $y \in X \setminus A$, then $\mathcal{G}(x) = \tau_1 \subsetneq \tau_2 = \mathcal{G}(y)$, and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{G}(x) \mathbb{U} \mathcal{G}(y) &= \{t \in \mathcal{G}(x) \cup \mathcal{G}(y) \mid t \geq \max\{\text{Inf}\mathcal{G}(x), \text{Inf}\mathcal{G}(y)\}\} \\ &= \{t \in \tau_2 \mid t \geq \max\{\text{Inf}\tau_1, \text{Inf}\tau_2\}\} \\ &= \{t \in \tau_2 \mid t \geq \text{Inf}\tau_2\} \\ &= \tau_2 = \mathcal{G}(x) \cup \mathcal{G}(y). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, if $x \in X \setminus A$ and $y \in A$, then $\mathcal{G}(x) \mathbb{U} \mathcal{G}(y) = \mathcal{G}(x) \cup \mathcal{G}(y)$. Thus \mathcal{G} satisfies the condition (27).

Theorem 8. *If $\text{Inf}\tau_1 = \text{Inf}\tau_2 \in \tau_1 \cap \tau_2$ and A is a p -ideal of X , then the hesitant fuzzy set \mathcal{G} in Theorem 7 is a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal of X .*

Proof. It is by Theorem 6 and Lemma 5.

Theorem 9. (Reduction property for \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideals) *Let \mathcal{G} and \mathcal{H} be \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy ideals of X such that $\mathcal{G}(0) = \mathcal{H}(0)$ and $\mathcal{G}(x) \supseteq \mathcal{H}(x)$ for all $x \in X$. If \mathcal{G} is a \mathbb{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal of X , then so is \mathcal{H} .*

Proof. Assume that \mathcal{G} is a \mathfrak{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal of X . Note that

$$0 * (0 * (x * (0 * (0 * x)))) = (0 * (0 * (0 * x))) * (0 * x) = (0 * x) * (0 * x) = 0$$

for all $x \in X$ by (6), (7) and (III). Using the hypothesis and (22) yields

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(x * (0 * (0 * x))) &\subseteq \mathcal{G}(x * (0 * (0 * x))) \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{G}(0 * (0 * (x * (0 * (0 * x)))))) \\ &= \mathcal{G}(0) = \mathcal{H}(0), \end{aligned}$$

and so

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{H}(x) &\subseteq \mathcal{H}(x * (0 * (0 * x))) \mathfrak{U} \mathcal{H}(0 * (0 * x)) \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{H}(0) \mathfrak{U} \mathcal{H}(0 * (0 * x)) \\ &\subseteq \mathcal{H}(0 * (0 * x)) \mathfrak{U} \mathcal{H}(0 * (0 * x)) \\ &= \mathcal{H}(0 * (0 * x)) \end{aligned}$$

for all $x \in X$ by (18), (16), (17) and (15). Therefore \mathcal{H} is a \mathfrak{U} -hesitant fuzzy p -ideal of X by Theorem 3.

References

- [1] Y. Huang, *BCI-algebra*, Science Press, Beijing, 2006.
- [2] Y.B. Jun, S.S. Ahn, *Hesitant fuzzy set theory applied to BCK/BCI-algebras*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., **20(4)**, 2016, 635–646.
- [3] Y.B. Jun, S.Z. Song, *Hesitant fuzzy set theory applied to filters in MTL-algebras*, Honam Math. J., **36(4)**, 2014, 813–830.
- [4] Y.B. Jun, S.Z. Song, *Hesitant fuzzy prefilters and filters of EQ-algebras*, Appl. Math. Sci., **9(11)**, 2015, 515–532.
- [5] J. Meng, Y.B. Jun, *BCK-algebras*, Kyungmoon Sa Co. Seoul, 1994.
- [6] G. Muhiuddin, *Hesitant fuzzy filters and hesitant fuzzy G-filters in residuated lattices*, J. Comput. Anal. Appl., **21(2)**, 2016, 394–404.
- [7] R.M. Rodriguez, L. Martinez F. Herrera, *Hesitant fuzzy linguistic term sets for decision making*, IEEE Trans. Fuzzy Syst., **20(1)**, 2012, 109–119.
- [8] S.Z. Song, H. Bordbar, Y.B. Jun, *A new type of hesitant fuzzy subalgebras and ideals in BCK/BCI-algebras*, J. Intell. Fuzzy Systems, **32**, 2017, 2009–2016.

- [9] V. Torra, *Hesitant fuzzy sets*, *Int. J. Intell. Syst.*, **25**, 2010, 529–539.
- [10] V. Torra, Y. Narukawa, *On hesitant fuzzy sets and decision*, in: The 18th IEEE International Conference on Fuzzy Systems, Jeju Island, Korea, 2009, 1378–1382.
- [11] F.Q. Wang, X. Li, X.H. Chen, *Hesitant fuzzy soft set and its applications in multicriteria decision making*, *J. Appl. Math.*, **2014**, 2014, 10 pages (Article ID 643785).
- [12] G. Wei, *Hesitant fuzzy prioritized operators and their application to multiple attribute decision making*, *Knowledge-Based Systems*, **31**, 2012, 176–182.
- [13] M. Xia, Z.S. Xu, *Hesitant fuzzy information aggregation in decision making*, *Internat. J. Approx. Reason*, **52(3)**, 2011, 395–407.
- [14] Z.S. Xu, M. Xia, *Distance and similarity measures for hesitant fuzzy sets*, *Inform. Sci.*, **181(11)**, 2011, 2128–2138.
- [15] Z.S. Xu, M. Xia, *On distance and correlation measures of hesitant fuzzy information*, *Int. J. Intell. Syst.*, **26(5)**, 2011, 410–425.
- [16] X.H. Zhang, H. Jiang, S.A. Bhatti, *On p -ideals of a BCI -algebra*, *Punjab Univ. J. Math. (Lahore)*, **27**, 1994, 121–128.

Young Bae Jun

Department of Mathematics Education, Gyeongsang National University, Jinju 52828, Korea
E-mail: skywine@gmail.com

Received 13 September 2016

Accepted 16 November 2017