

## A Study of Meromorphically Univalent Functions Defined by a Linear Operator Associated with the $\lambda$ -Generalized Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta Function

H.M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury, F. Ghanim\*

---

**Abstract.** By using a linear operator associated with the  $\lambda$ -generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, which is defined here by means of the Hadamard product (or convolution), the authors introduce and investigate certain sufficient conditions for this meromorphic functions to satisfy a subordination. In fact, these results extend known results of starlikeness, convexity, and close to convexity.

**Key Words and Phrases:** analytic functions, univalent functions, meromorphic functions,  $\lambda$ -generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function, Srivastava-Attiya operator, Dziok-Srivastava and Srivastava-Wright operators, Hadamard product (or convolution).

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications:** 30C45, 11M35, 30C10

---

### 1. Introduction, Definitions and Preliminaries

Let  $\Sigma$  denote the class of meromorphic functions  $f(z)$  normalized by

$$f(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_k z^k,$$

which are analytic in the punctured unit disk

$$\mathbb{U}^* = \{z : z \in \mathbb{C} \text{ and } 0 < |z| < 1\} = \mathbb{U} \setminus \{0\},$$

$\mathbb{C}$  being (as usual) the set of complex numbers. We denote by  $\Sigma\mathcal{S}^*(\beta)$  and  $\Sigma\mathcal{K}(\beta)$  ( $\beta \geq 0$ ) the subclasses of  $\Sigma$  consisting of all meromorphic functions which are, respectively, starlike of order  $\beta$  and convex of order  $\beta$  in  $\mathbb{U}^*$  (see also the recent works [43] and [42]).

---

\*Corresponding author.

For functions  $f_j(z)$  ( $j = 1, 2$ ) defined by

$$f_j(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k,j} z^k \quad (j = 1, 2),$$

we denote the Hadamard product (or convolution) of  $f_1(z)$  and  $f_2(z)$  by

$$(f_1 * f_2)(z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} a_{k,1} a_{k,2} z^k.$$

Let us consider the function  $\tilde{\phi}(\alpha, \beta; z)$  defined by

$$\tilde{\phi}(\alpha, \beta; z) = \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{k+1}}{(\beta)_{k+1}} a_k z^k$$

$$(\beta \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; \alpha \in \mathbb{C}),$$

where

$$\mathbb{Z}_0^- = \{0, -1, -2, \dots\} = \mathbb{Z}^- \cup \{0\}.$$

Here, and in the remainder of this paper,  $(\lambda)_\kappa$  denotes the general Pochhammer symbol defined, in terms of the Gamma function, by

$$(\lambda)_\kappa := \frac{\Gamma(\lambda + \kappa)}{\Gamma(\lambda)} = \begin{cases} \lambda(\lambda + 1) \cdots (\lambda + \kappa - 1) & (\kappa = n \in \mathbb{N}; \lambda \in \mathbb{C}) \\ 1 & (\kappa = 0; \lambda \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}), \end{cases}$$

it being understood *conventionally* that  $(0)_0 := 1$  and assumed *tacitly* that the  $\Gamma$ -quotient exists (see, for details, [39, p. 21 *et seq.*]),  $\mathbb{N}$  being the set of positive integers.

Very recently, Ghanim ([8]; see also [9]) made use of the Hadamard product for functions  $f(z) \in \Sigma$  in order to introduce a new linear operator  $L_a^s(\alpha, \beta)$  defined on  $\Sigma$  by

$$\begin{aligned} L_a^s(\alpha, \beta)(f)(z) &= \tilde{\phi}(\alpha, \beta; z) * G_{s,a}(z) \\ &= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{n+1}}{(\beta)_{n+1}} \left( \frac{a+1}{a+k} \right)^s a_k z^k \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}^*), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$G_{s,a}(z) := (a+1)^s \left[ \Phi(z, s, a) - a^s + \frac{1}{z(a+1)^s} \right]$$

$$= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \left( \frac{a+1}{a+k} \right)^s z^k \quad (z \in \mathbb{U}^*) \tag{1}$$

and the function  $\Phi(z, s, a)$  is the well-known Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function defined by (see, for example, [28, p. 121 *et seq.*]; see also [23], [29, p. 194 *et seq.*], [34] and [35])

$$\Phi(z, s, a) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{z^n}{(n+a)^s}$$

$$(a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^-; s \in \mathbb{C} \text{ when } |z| < 1; \Re(s) > 1 \text{ when } |z| = 1).$$

We recall that the following new family of the  $\lambda$ -generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions was introduced and investigated systematically by Srivastava [26] (see also [24, 25, 30, 32, 33, 34, 35, 36, 38, 41]):

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_q}^{(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_p, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_q)}(z, s, a; b, \lambda) &= \frac{1}{\lambda \Gamma(s)} \\ &\cdot \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (\lambda_j)_{n\rho_j}}{(a+n)^s \cdot \prod_{j=1}^q (\mu_j)_{n\sigma_j}} H_{0,2}^{2,0} \left[ (a+n)b^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \left| \begin{matrix} \phantom{a+n} \\ (s, 1), (0, \frac{1}{\lambda}) \end{matrix} \right. \right] \frac{z^n}{n!} \end{aligned} \tag{2}$$

$$(\min\{\Re(a), \Re(s)\} > 0; \Re(b) > 0; \lambda > 0),$$

where

$$\left( \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C} \ (j = 1, \dots, p) \text{ and } \mu_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^- \ (j = 1, \dots, q); \rho_j > 0 \ (j = 1, \dots, p); \right.$$

$$\left. \sigma_j > 0 \ (j = 1, \dots, q); 1 + \sum_{j=1}^q \sigma_j - \sum_{j=1}^p \rho_j \geq 0 \right)$$

and the equality in the convergence condition holds true for suitably bounded values of  $|z|$  given by

$$|z| < \nabla := \left( \prod_{j=1}^p \rho_j^{-\rho_j} \right) \cdot \left( \prod_{j=1}^q \sigma_j^{\sigma_j} \right).$$

**Definition 1.** The  $H$ -function involved in the right-hand side of (2) is the well-known Fox's  $H$ -function [14, Definition 1.1] (see also [37, 39]) defined by

$$\begin{aligned} H_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}^{m,n}(z) &= H_{\mathfrak{p},\mathfrak{q}}^{m,n} \left[ z \left| \begin{array}{c} (a_1, A_1), \dots, (a_{\mathfrak{p}}, A_{\mathfrak{p}}) \\ (b_1, B_1), \dots, (b_{\mathfrak{q}}, B_{\mathfrak{q}}) \end{array} \right. \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{2\pi i} \int_{\mathcal{L}} \Xi(s) z^{-s} ds \quad (z \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}; |\arg(z)| < \pi), \end{aligned}$$

where

$$\Xi(s) = \frac{\prod_{j=1}^m \Gamma(b_j + B_j s) \cdot \prod_{j=1}^n \Gamma(1 - a_j - A_j s)}{\prod_{j=n+1}^{\mathfrak{p}} \Gamma(a_j + A_j s) \cdot \prod_{j=m+1}^{\mathfrak{q}} \Gamma(1 - b_j - B_j s)},$$

an empty product is interpreted as 1,  $m, n, \mathfrak{p}$  and  $\mathfrak{q}$  are integers such that

$$\begin{aligned} 1 \leq m \leq \mathfrak{q} \quad \text{and} \quad 0 \leq n \leq \mathfrak{p}, \\ A_j > 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}) \quad \text{and} \quad B_j > 0 \quad (j = 1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}), \\ a_j \in \mathbb{C} \quad (j = 1, \dots, \mathfrak{p}) \quad \text{and} \quad b_j \in \mathbb{C} \quad (j = 1, \dots, \mathfrak{q}) \end{aligned}$$

and  $\mathcal{L}$  is a suitable Mellin-Barnes type contour separating the poles of the gamma functions

$$\{\Gamma(b_j + B_j s)\}_{j=1}^m$$

from the poles of the gamma functions

$$\{\Gamma(1 - a_j + A_j s)\}_{j=1}^n.$$

It is worthy of mention here that, by using the fact that [26, p. 1496, Remark 7]

$$\lim_{b \rightarrow 0} \left\{ H_{0,2}^{2,0} \left[ (a+n)b^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \left| \begin{array}{c} \text{---} \\ (s, 1), (0, \frac{1}{\lambda}) \end{array} \right. \right] \right\} = \lambda \Gamma(s) \quad (\lambda > 0),$$

the equation (1) reduces to the following form:

$$\begin{aligned} \Phi_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\mathfrak{q}}}^{(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\mathfrak{p}}, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{\mathfrak{q}})}(z, s, a; 0, \lambda) &:= \Phi_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_{\mathfrak{p}}; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_{\mathfrak{q}}}^{(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_{\mathfrak{p}}, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_{\mathfrak{q}})}(z, s, a) \\ &= \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^{\mathfrak{p}} (\lambda_j)_{n\rho_j}}{(a+n)^s \cdot \prod_{j=1}^{\mathfrak{q}} (\mu_j)_{n\sigma_j}} \frac{z^n}{n!}. \end{aligned} \quad (3)$$

**Definition 2.** The function  $\Phi_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_q}^{(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_p, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_q)}(z, s, a)$  involved in (3) is the multi-parameter extension and generalization of the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function  $\Phi(z, s, a)$  introduced by Srivastava et al. [41, p. 503, Eq. (6.2)] defined by

$$\Phi_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_q}^{(\rho_1, \dots, \rho_p, \sigma_1, \dots, \sigma_q)}(z, s, a) := \sum_{n=0}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (\lambda_j)_{n\rho_j}}{(a+n)^s \cdot \prod_{j=1}^q (\mu_j)_{n\sigma_j}} \frac{z^n}{n!}$$

$$\left( p, q \in \mathbb{N}_0; \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C} \ (j = 1, \dots, p); a, \mu_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^- \ (j = 1, \dots, q); \right.$$

$$\rho_j, \sigma_k \in \mathbb{R}^+ \ (j = 1, \dots, p; k = 1, \dots, q);$$

$$\Delta > -1 \text{ when } s, z \in \mathbb{C};$$

$$\Delta = -1 \text{ and } s \in \mathbb{C} \text{ when } |z| < \nabla^*;$$

$$\Delta = -1 \text{ and } \Re(\Xi) > \frac{1}{2} \text{ when } |z| = \nabla^* \left. \right)$$

with

$$\nabla^* := \left( \prod_{j=1}^p \rho_j^{-\rho_j} \right) \cdot \left( \prod_{j=1}^q \sigma_j^{\sigma_j} \right),$$

$$\Delta := \sum_{j=1}^q \sigma_j - \sum_{j=1}^p \rho_j \quad \text{and} \quad \Xi := s + \sum_{j=1}^q \mu_j - \sum_{j=1}^p \lambda_j + \frac{p-q}{2}.$$

By applying this new family of the  $\lambda$ -generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions, Srivastava and Gaboury [31] introduced a new linear operator which consists in a generalization of the largely- (and widely-) studied Srivastava-Attiya operator [27] (see also [3, 20, 40]). This new operator contains, as its special cases, the operators investigated earlier by Prajapat and Bulboacă [19, p. 571, Eq. (1.8)], Noor and Bukhari [15, p. 2, Eq. (1.3)], Choi *et al.* [5], Cho and Srivastava [4], Jung *et al.* [13], Bernardi [1], Carlson and Shaffer [2], Owa and Srivastava [16] and Dziok and Srivastava [6, 7]. The Dziok-Srivastava convolution operator studied by Dziok and Srivastava [6, 7] is a generalization of the Hohlov operator [11] and the Ruscheweyh operator [21]. In fact, the Dziok-Srivastava convolution operator is itself a special case of the so-called Srivastava-Wright operator (see, for details, [12] and [22]; see also the other closely-related works cited in each of these recent publications).

In this paper, we consider the following linear operator:

$$J^\alpha f(z) \equiv J_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda,\alpha,\beta} f(z) : \Sigma \rightarrow \Sigma,$$

which is defined by

$$J^\alpha f(z) = G_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda}(z) * \tilde{\phi}(\alpha, \beta; z), \quad (4)$$

where  $*$  denotes the Hadamard product (or convolution) of analytic functions and the function  $G_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda}(z)$  is given by

$$\begin{aligned} G_{(\lambda_p),(\mu_q),b}^{s,a,\lambda}(z) &:= (a+1)^s \cdot \left[ \Phi_{\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_p; \mu_1, \dots, \mu_q}^{(1, \dots, 1, 1, \dots, 1)}(z, s, a; b, \lambda) \right. \\ &\quad \left. - \frac{a^{-s}}{\lambda \Gamma(s)} \Lambda(a, b, s, \lambda) + \frac{(a+1)^{-s}}{z} \right] \\ &= \frac{1}{z} + \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (\lambda_j)_k}{\prod_{j=1}^q (\mu_j)_k} \left( \frac{a+1}{a+k} \right)^s \frac{\Lambda(a+k, b, s, \lambda)}{\lambda \Gamma(s)} \frac{z^k}{k!} \end{aligned} \quad (5)$$

with

$$\Lambda(a, b, s, \lambda) := H_{0,2}^{2,0} \left[ ab^{\frac{1}{\lambda}} \left| \begin{array}{c} (s, 1), (0, \frac{1}{\lambda}) \end{array} \right. \right].$$

By combining (4) and (5), we obtain

$$\begin{aligned} J^\alpha f(z) &= \frac{1}{z} \\ &+ \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(\alpha)_{k+1}}{(\beta)_{k+1}} \frac{\prod_{j=1}^p (\lambda_j)_k}{\prod_{j=1}^q (\mu_j)_k} \left( \frac{a+1}{a+k} \right)^s \frac{\Lambda(a+k, b, s, \lambda)}{\lambda \Gamma(s)} a_k \frac{z^k}{k!} \end{aligned} \quad (6)$$

$$\left( z \in \mathbb{U}^*; \alpha, \lambda_j \in \mathbb{C} \ (j = 1, \dots, p); \beta, \mu_j \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^- \ (j = 1, \dots, q); p \leq q+1 \right)$$

with

$$\min\{\Re(a), \Re(s)\} > 0; \lambda > 0 \quad \text{if} \quad \Re(b) > 0$$

and

$$s \in \mathbb{C} \quad \text{and} \quad a \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \mathbb{Z}_0^- \quad \text{if} \quad b = 0,$$

see Srivastava et al. [34] and [35]). Clearly, upon setting  $p-1 = q = 0$  and  $\lambda_1 = 1$  in (6) and taking the limit as  $b \rightarrow 0$ , we obtain the operator  $L_a^s(\alpha, \beta)(f)(z)$  studied earlier by Ghanim [8].

Let the functions  $f$  and  $g$  be analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$ . Then we say that  $f$  is subordinate to  $g$  in  $\mathbb{U}$ , and write  $f \prec g$ ; if there exists a Schwarz function  $w$  analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$

such that  $|w(z)| < 1$ ,  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ ; and  $w(0) = 0$  with  $f(z) = g(w(z))$  in  $\mathbb{U}$  (see [10]); further, if  $g$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ ; then  $f(z) \prec g(z) \Leftrightarrow f(0) = g(0)$  and  $f(\mathbb{U}) \subset g(\mathbb{U})$ .

In this paper, we investigate various properties of certain subclasses of the meromorphically analytic function class  $\Sigma$  in the punctured unit disk  $\mathbb{U}^*$ . We first introduce one of these function classes and investigate the properties of the linear operator

$$J^{\alpha+1} \equiv J_{(\lambda_p), (\mu_q), b}^{s, a, \lambda, \alpha+1, \beta} f(z).$$

and obtain certain sufficient conditions for a function  $f \in \Sigma$  to satisfy either of the following subordinations:

$$\frac{J^{\alpha+1} f(z)}{J^\alpha f(z)} \prec \frac{\lambda(1-z)}{\lambda-z}, \quad \frac{J^\alpha f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1-z}, \quad \frac{J^\alpha f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\lambda(1-z)}{\lambda-z}.$$

Our results extend corresponding previously known results on starlikeness, convexity, and close to convexity.

To prove our main results, we need the following:

**Lemma 1.** (cf. Miller and Mocanu [17, Theorem 3.4h, p.132]). Let  $q(z)$  be univalent in the unit disk  $\mathbb{U}$  and let  $\vartheta$  and  $\varphi$  be analytic in a domain  $D \supset q(\mathbb{U})$ , with  $\varphi(w) \neq 0$  when  $w \in q(\mathbb{U})$ . Set

$$Q(z) := zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)), \quad h(z) := \vartheta(q(z)) + Q(z).$$

Suppose that

(1)  $Q(z)$  is starlike univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$ , and

(2)  $\Re\left(\frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)}\right) > 0$  for  $z \in \mathbb{U}$ .

If  $p(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}$  with  $p(0) = q(0)$ ,  $p(\mathbb{U}) \subset D$  and

$$\vartheta(p(z)) + zp'(z)\varphi(p(z)) \prec \vartheta(q(z)) + zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)). \tag{7}$$

Then  $p(z) \prec q(z)$  and  $q(z)$  is the best dominant.

## 2. Main results

**Theorem 1.** Let  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $\mu \in \mathbb{R}$  satisfy  $|\mu| \leq 1$  and  $\lambda > 1$ . If  $f \in \Sigma$  satisfies  $J^\alpha f(z) \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{U}^*$  and

$$\left(\frac{J^{\alpha+1} f(z)}{J^\alpha f(z)}\right)^\mu \left((\alpha+1) \frac{J^{\alpha+2} f(z)}{J^{\alpha+1} f(z)} - 1\right) \prec h(z), \tag{8}$$

where

$$h(z) = \left( \frac{\lambda(1-z)}{\lambda-z} \right)^{\mu+1} \left( \alpha - \frac{(\lambda-1)z}{\lambda(1-z)^2} \right),$$

then

$$\frac{J^{\alpha+1}f(z)}{J^\alpha f(z)} \prec \frac{\lambda(1-z)}{\lambda-z}.$$

*Proof.* The condition (8) and  $J^\alpha f(z) \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{U}^*$  imply that  $J^{\alpha+1}f(z) \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{U}^*$ . Define the function  $p(z)$  by

$$p(z) := \frac{J^{\alpha+1}f(z)}{J^\alpha f(z)}.$$

Clearly  $p(z)$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}^*$ . A computation shows that

$$\frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)} = \frac{z(J^{\alpha+1}f(z))'}{J^{\alpha+1}f(z)} - \frac{z(J^\alpha f(z))'}{J^\alpha f(z)}. \quad (9)$$

By using the identity

$$z(J^\alpha f(z))' = \alpha(J^{\alpha+1}f(z)) - (\alpha+1)J^\alpha f(z), \quad (10)$$

we get from (9)

$$(\alpha+1)\frac{J^{\alpha+2}f(z)}{J^{\alpha+1}f(z)} = 1 + \alpha p(z) + \frac{zp'(z)}{p(z)}. \quad (11)$$

Using (11) in (8), we get

$$\alpha(p(z))^{\mu+1} + zp'(z)(p(z))^{\mu-1} \prec h(z). \quad (12)$$

Let  $q(z)$  be the function defined by

$$q(z) := \frac{\lambda(1-z)}{\lambda-z}.$$

It is clear that  $q$  is convex univalent in  $\mathbb{U}^*$ . Since

$$h(z) = \alpha(q(z))^{\mu+1} + zq'(z)(q(z))^{\mu-1}.$$

We see that (12) can be written as (7) when  $\vartheta$  and  $\varphi$  are given by



$$\vartheta(w) = \alpha w^{\mu+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(w) = w^{\mu-1}.$$

Clearly  $\varphi$  and  $\vartheta$  are analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Now

$$Q(z) := zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)) = zq'(z)(q(z))^{\mu-1} = \frac{(1-\lambda)z\lambda^\mu(1-z)^{\mu-1}}{(\lambda-z)^{1+\mu}},$$

$$h(z) := \vartheta(q(z)) + Q(z) = \left(\frac{\lambda(1-z)}{\lambda-z}\right)^{1+\mu} \left(\alpha - \frac{(\lambda-1)z}{\lambda(1-z)^2}\right).$$

By our assumptions on the parameters  $\mu$  and  $\lambda$ , we see that

$$\begin{aligned} \Re\left(\frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)}\right) &= \Re\left(1 + \frac{z(1-\mu)}{1-z} + (1-\mu)\frac{z}{\lambda-z}\right) \\ &> -1 + \frac{1}{2}(1-\mu) + \frac{(1+\mu)\lambda}{1+\lambda} \\ &= \frac{(1+\mu)(\lambda-1)}{2(1+\lambda)} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and therefore  $Q(z)$  is starlike. Also we have

$$\Re\left(\frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)}\right) = \alpha(1+\mu)\Re\left(\frac{\lambda(1-z)}{\lambda-z}\right) + \Re\left(\frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)}\right) \geq 0.$$

By an application of Lemma 1, we have  $p(z) \prec q(z)$  or

$$\frac{J^{\alpha+1}f(z)}{J^\alpha f(z)} \prec \frac{\lambda(1-z)}{\lambda-z}.$$

This completes the proof of Theorem 1. ◀

By taking  $\mu = 0$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = 1$  in Theorem 1, we get the following corollary:

**Corollary 1.** *Let  $f \in \Sigma$  and  $f(z) \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{U}^*$ . If  $\lambda > 1$  and*

$$1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \prec \frac{\lambda(1-z)}{\lambda-z} - \frac{(\lambda-1)z}{(\lambda-z)(1-z)},$$

*then*

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{\lambda(1-z)}{\lambda-z}.$$

**Remark 1.** *The function*

$$h(z) = \frac{\lambda(1-z)}{\lambda-z} - \frac{(\lambda-1)z}{(\lambda-z)(1-z)} = \frac{z}{\lambda-z} + \frac{1}{1-z},$$

takes real value for real value of  $z$ ,  $h(0) = 1$  and  $h(\mathbb{U})$  is the region  $\Re(h(z)) < \frac{(\lambda+1)}{2(\lambda-1)}$  for  $1 < \lambda \leq 2$  and  $\Re(h(z)) < \frac{(5\lambda-1)}{2(\lambda+1)}$  for  $2 < \lambda$ . Hence this result generalizes the result obtained by Owa et al. [18].

We note that the image of the function

$$h(z) = 1 - \frac{(\lambda-1)z}{\lambda(1-z)^2}$$

is

$$h(\mathbb{U}^*) = \mathbb{C} - \left[ \frac{5\lambda-1}{4\lambda}, \infty \right).$$

Hence by taking  $\mu = -1$ ,  $\alpha = 1$  and  $\beta = 1$  in Theorem 1, we get the following corollary:

**Corollary 2.** *Let  $\lambda > 1$ ,  $f \in \Sigma$  and  $f(z) \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{U}$ . If  $f$  satisfies*

$$\Re \left( \frac{1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)}}{\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)}} \right) < \frac{5\lambda-1}{4\lambda},$$

then

$$\frac{zf'(z)}{f(z)} \prec \frac{\lambda(1-z)}{\lambda-z}.$$

**Theorem 2.** *Let  $\alpha > 0$ ,  $-1 \leq \mu < 0$  and  $-1 \leq A < 1$ . If  $f \in \Sigma$  satisfies the condition  $J^\alpha f(z)/z \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{U}^*$  and*

$$\left( \frac{J^\alpha f(z)}{z} \right)^\mu \left( \alpha \frac{J^{\alpha+1} f(z)}{z} \right) \prec h(z), \quad (13)$$

where

$$h(z) = \left( \frac{1+Az}{1-z} \right)^\mu \left( \alpha \frac{1+Az}{1-z} + \frac{(1+A)z}{(1-z)^2} \right),$$

then

$$\frac{J^\alpha f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1-z}.$$

*Proof.* Define the function  $p(z)$  by

$$p(z) := \frac{J^\alpha f(z)}{z}. \tag{14}$$

It is clear that  $p$  is analytic in  $\mathbb{U}^*$ . By using the identity (10), we get from (14)

$$\alpha (J^{\alpha+1} f(z))' = zp'(z) - (\alpha - 1)p(z). \tag{15}$$

Using (15) in (13), we see that the subordination becomes

$$\alpha p(z)^{1+\mu} + p(z)^\mu zp'(z) \prec h(z).$$

Define the function  $q(z)$  by

$$q(z) := \frac{1 + Az}{1 - z}.$$

It is clear that  $q(z)$  is univalent in  $\mathbb{U}$  and  $q(\mathbb{U})$  is the region  $\Re(q(z)) > (1 - A)/2$ . By defining the functions  $\vartheta$  and  $\varphi$  by

$$\vartheta(w) = \alpha w^{\mu+1} \quad \text{and} \quad \varphi(w) = w^\mu.$$

we observe that (13) can be written as (7). Note that  $\varphi$  and  $\vartheta$  are analytic in  $\mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ . Also we see that

$$Q(z) := zq'(z)\varphi(q(z)) = \frac{(1 + A)z(1 + Az)^\mu}{(1 - z)^{2+\mu}},$$

and

$$h(z) := \vartheta(q(z)) + Q(z) = \left(\frac{1 + Az}{1 - z}\right)^\mu \left(\alpha \frac{1 + Az}{1 - z} + \frac{(1 + A)z}{(1 - z)^2}\right).$$

By our assumptions, we have

$$\begin{aligned} \Re\left(\frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)}\right) &= \Re\left[1 + \mu \frac{Az}{1 + Az} + (2 + \mu) \frac{z}{1 - z}\right] \\ &> 1 - \frac{\mu|A|}{1 + |A|} - \frac{2 + \mu}{2} = \frac{-\mu(1 - |A|)}{2(1 + |A|)} > 0, \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\Re \left( \frac{zh'(z)}{Q(z)} \right) = \Re \left[ \frac{\vartheta'(q(z))}{\varphi(q(z))} + \frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)} \right] = \alpha(1 + \mu) + \Re \left( \frac{zQ'(z)}{Q(z)} \right) \geq 0.$$

The result now follows by an application of Lemma 1. ◀

The function  $h(z) = \alpha + \frac{1+Az}{(1-z)(1+Az)}$  takes real values for real values of  $z$  with  $h(0) = \alpha$  and  $h(\mathbb{U})$  is symmetric with respect to the real axis and

$$\Re(h(z)) > \alpha + \frac{1}{2} - \frac{1}{1-|A|}, \quad z \in \mathbb{U}^*.$$

Consequently, by letting  $\mu = -1$  in Theorem 2, we obtain the following corollary:

**Corollary 3.** *Let  $-1 < A < 1$ ,  $\alpha > 0$  and  $f \in \Sigma$  with  $J^\alpha f(z)/z \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{U}^*$  and*

$$\Re \left( \frac{J^{\alpha+1}f(z)}{J^\alpha f(z)} \right) > 1 + \frac{1}{2\alpha} - \frac{1}{\alpha(1-|A|)}.$$

Then

$$\frac{J^\alpha f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{1+Az}{1-z}.$$

**Theorem 3.** *Let  $\mu \geq -1$ ,  $\lambda > 1$ ,  $f \in \Sigma$  and  $J^\alpha f(z)/z \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{U}^*$ . If  $f$  satisfies*

$$\left( \frac{J^\alpha f(z)}{z} \right)^\mu \left( \alpha \frac{J^{\alpha+1}f(z)}{z} \right) \prec \frac{\lambda^{1+\mu}(1-z)^\mu}{(\lambda-z)^{1+\mu}} \left( \alpha(1-z) - \frac{\lambda(1-z)}{\lambda-z} \right),$$

then

$$\frac{J^\alpha f(z)}{z} \prec \frac{\lambda(1-z)}{\lambda-z}.$$

*Proof.* The proof of Theorem 3, also based upon Lemma 1, is similar to that of Theorem 1. Indeed, in this case, the result follows from Lemma 1 when we define the functions  $\varphi$  and  $\vartheta$  by  $\vartheta(w) = \alpha w^{-(1+\mu)}$  and  $\varphi(w) = -w^{-(2+\mu)}$ . ◀

Finally we note that  $\Re \left( 1 - \frac{(\lambda-1)z}{(\lambda-z)(1-z)} \right) < \frac{3\lambda-1}{2(\lambda-1)}$  for  $z \in \mathbb{U}^*$  and so from above Theorem by choosing  $\alpha = \beta = 1$  we can get the following corollary:

**Corollary 4.** *Let  $\lambda > 1$ ,  $f \in \Sigma$  and  $f'(z) \neq 0$  in  $\mathbb{U}^*$ . If  $f$  satisfies*

$$\Re \left( 1 + \frac{zf''(z)}{f'(z)} \right) < \frac{3\lambda-1}{2(\lambda-1)},$$

then

$$f'(z) \prec \frac{\lambda(1-z)}{\lambda-z}.$$

### 3. Concluding Remarks and Observations

In our present investigation, we have successfully applied a remarkably general family of linear operators which are associated with the  $\lambda$ -generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function. By means of this general linear operator, we have introduced and investigated various properties of some new subclasses of meromorphically univalent functions in the punctured unit disk  $\mathbb{U}^*$ . We have also considered several closely-related (known or new) corollaries and consequences of the main results (Theorems 1, 2 and 3) presented in this paper.

### References

- [1] S.D. Bernardi, *Convex and starlike univalent functions*, Trans. Amer. Math. Soc., **135**, 1969, 429–446.
- [2] B.C. Carlson, D.B. Shaffer, *Starlike and prestarlike hypergeometric functions*, SIAM J. Math. Anal., **15**, 1984, 737–745.
- [3] N.E. Cho, I.H. Kim, H.M. Srivastava, *Sandwich-type theorems for multivalent functions associated with the Srivastava-Attiya operator*, Appl. Math. Comput., **217**, 2010, 918–928.
- [4] N.E. Cho, H.M. Srivastava, *Argument estimation of certain analytic functions defined by a class of multiplier transformation*, Math. Comput. Modelling, **37**, 2003, 39–49.
- [5] J.H. Choi, M. Saigo, H.M. Srivastava, *Some inclusion properties of a certain family of integral operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **276**, 2002, 432–445.
- [6] J. Dziok, H.M. Srivastava, *Classes of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Appl. Math. Comput., **103**, 1999, 1–13.
- [7] J. Dziok, H.M. Srivastava, *Certain subclasses of analytic functions associated with the generalized hypergeometric function*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., **14**, 2003, 7–18.
- [8] F. Ghanim, *A study of a certain subclass of Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function related to a linear operator*, Abstr. Appl. Anal., **2013**, 2013, 1–7 (Article ID 763756).
- [9] F. Ghanim, M. Darus, *New result of analytic functions related to Hurwitz-Zeta function*, The Scientific World Journal, **2013**, 2013, 1–5 (Article ID 475643).

- [10] D.I. Hallenbeck, S. Ruscheweyh, *Subordination by convex functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **52**, 1975, 191–195.
- [11] Yu.E. Hohlov, *Operators and operations in the class of univalent functions*, Izv. Vyss. Uceb. Zaved. Matematika, **10**, 1978, 83–89.
- [12] V. Kiryakova, *Criteria for univalence of the Dziok-Srivastava and the Srivastava-Owa operators in the class A*, Appl. Math. Comput., **218**, 2011, 883–892.
- [13] I.B. Jung, Y.C. Kim, H.M. Srivastava, *The Hardy space of analytic functions associated with certain one-parameter families of integral operators*, J. Math. Anal. Appl., **176**, 1993, 138–147.
- [14] A.M. Mathai, R.K. Saxena, H.J. Haubold, *The H-Function: Theory and Applications*, Springer, New York, Dordrecht, Heidelberg and London, 2010.
- [15] K.I. Noor, S.Z.H. Bukhari, *Some subclasses of analytic and spiral-like functions of complex order involving the Srivastava-Attiya integral operator*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., **21**, 2010, 907–916.
- [16] S. Owa, H.M. Srivastava, *Univalent and starlike generalized hypergeometric functions*, Canad. J. Math., **39**, 1987, 1057–1077.
- [17] S.S. Miller, P.T. Mocanu, *Differential subordinations: theory and applications*, Series in Pure and Applied Mathematics, 225, Marcel Dekker, New York, (2000).
- [18] S. Owa, M. Nunokawa, H. Saitoh, H.M. Srivastava, *Close-to-convexity, starlikeness, and convexity of certain analytic functions*, Appl. Math. Lett., **15(1)**, 2002, 63–69.
- [19] J.K. Prajapat, T. Bulboacă, *Double subordination preserving properties for a new generalized Srivastava-Attiya operator*, Chin. Ann. Math., **33**, 2012, 569–582.
- [20] D. Răducanu, H.M. Srivastava, *A new class of analytic functions defined by means of a convolution operator involving the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., **18**, 2007, 933–943.
- [21] S. Ruscheweyh, *New criteria for univalent functions*, Proc. Amer. Math. Soc., **49**, 1975, 109–115.

- [22] H.M. Srivastava, *Some Fox-Wright generalized hypergeometric functions and associated families of convolution operators*, Appl. Anal. Discrete Math., **1**, 2007, 56–71.
- [23] H.M. Srivastava, *Some formulas for the Bernoulli and Euler polynomials at rational arguments*, Math. Proc. Cambridge Philos. Soc., **129**, 2000, 77–84.
- [24] H.M. Srivastava, *Some generalizations and basic (or  $q$ -) extensions of the Bernoulli, Euler and Genocchi polynomials*, Appl. Math. Inform. Sci., **5**, 2011, 390–444.
- [25] H.M. Srivastava, *Generating relations and other results associated with some families of the extended Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta functions*, Springer Plus, **2**, 2013, 1–14 (Article ID 2: 67).
- [26] H.M. Srivastava, *A new family of the  $\lambda$ -generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions with applications*, Appl. Math. Inform. Sci., **8**, 2014, 1485–1500.
- [27] H.M. Srivastava, A.A. Attiya, *An integral operator associated with the Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function and differential subordination*, Integral Transforms Spec. Funct., **18**, 2007, 207–216.
- [28] H.M. Srivastava, J. Choi, *Series Associated with Zeta and Related Functions*, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, Boston and London, 2001.
- [29] H.M. Srivastava, J. Choi, *Zeta and  $q$ -Zeta Functions and Associated Series and Integrals*, Elsevier Science Publishers, Amsterdam, London and New York, 2012.
- [30] H.M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury, *New expansion formulas for a family of the  $\lambda$ -generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions*, Internat. J. Math. Math. Sci., **2014**, 2014, 1–13 (Article ID 131067).
- [31] H.M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury, *A new class of analytic functions defined by means of a generalization of the Srivastava-Attiya operator*, J. Inequal. Appl., **25**, 2015, 1–15 (Article ID 39).
- [32] H.M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury, A. Bayad, *Expansion formulas for an extended Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function obtained via fractional calculus*, Adv. Difference Equations, **2014**, 2014, 1–17 (Article ID 169).
- [33] H.M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury, B.-J. Fugère, *Further results involving a class of generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta functions*, Russian J. Math. Phys., **21**, 2014, 521-537.

- [34] H.M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury, F. Ghanim, *Certain subclasses of meromorphically univalent functions defined by a linear operator associated with the  $\lambda$ -generalized Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function*, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, **26** (4), 2015, 258–272.
- [35] H.M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury, F. Ghanim, *Some Further Properties of a Linear Operator Associated with the  $\lambda$ -Generalized Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta Function Related to the Class of Meromorphically Univalent Functions*, *Applied Mathematics and Computation*, **259**, 2015, 1019–1029.
- [36] H.M. Srivastava, S. Gaboury, R. Tremblay, *New relations involving an extended multiparameter Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function with applications*, *Int. J. Anal.*, **2014**, 2014, 1–21 (Article ID 680850).
- [37] H.M. Srivastava, K.C. Gupta, S.P. Goyal, *The H-Functions of One and Two Variables with Applications*, South Asian Publishers, New Delhi, New Delhi and Madras, 1982.
- [38] H.M. Srivastava, D. Jankov, T.K. Pogány, R.K. Saxena, *Two-sided inequalities for the extended Hurwitz-Lerch Zeta function*, *Comput. Math. Appl.*, **62**, 2011, 516–522.
- [39] H.M. Srivastava, H. L. Manocha, *A Treatise on Generating Functions*, Halsted Press (Ellis Horwood Limited, Chichester), John Wiley and Sons, New York, Chichester, Brisbane and Toronto, 1984.
- [40] H.M. Srivastava, D. Răducanu, G.S. Sălăgean, *A new class of generalized close-to-starlike functions defined by the Srivastava-Attiya operator*, *Acta Math. Sinica (English Ser.)* **29**, 2013, 833–840.
- [41] H.M. Srivastava, R.K. Saxena, T.K. Pogány, R. Saxena, *Integral and computational representations of the extended Hurwitz-Lerch zeta function*, *Integral Transforms Spec. Funct.*, **22**, 2011, 487–506.
- [42] Z.G. Wang, H.M. Srivastava, S.M. Yuan, *Some basic properties of certain subclasses of meromorphically starlike functions*, *J. Inequal. Appl.* **2014**, 2014, 1–12 (Article ID 2014:29).
- [43] S.M. Yuan, Z.M. Liu, H.M. Srivastava, *Some inclusion relationships and integral-preserving properties of certain subclasses of meromorphic functions associated with a family of integral operators*, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.*, **337**, 2008, 505–515.



Hari M. Srivastava

*Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Victoria, Victoria, British Columbia  
V8W 3R4, Canada*

*Department of Medical Research, China Medical University Hospital, China Medical  
University, Taichung 40402, Taiwan, Republic of China*

*E-mail: harimsri@math.uvic.ca*

Sébastien Gaboury

*Department of Mathematics and Computer Science, University of Québec at Chicoutimi,  
Chicoutimi, Québec G7H 2B1, Canada*

*E-mail: slgabour@uqac.ca*

Firas Ghanim

*Department of Mathematics, College of Sciences, University of Sharjah, Sharjah, United Arab  
Emirates*

*E-mail: fgahmed@sharjah.ac.ae*

Received 01 December 2015

Accepted 25 April 2017