

## On the Convergence of Composite Implicit Iteration Process with Errors for Asymptotically Nonexpansive Mappings in the Intermediate Sense

S. Banerjee\*, B. S.Choudhury

---

**Abstract.** In this paper we establish a necessary and sufficient condition for the strong convergence of the composite iteration process with errors to a common fixed point of the finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense in a arbitrary real Banach space. We also prove several strong and weak convergence results of this implicit iterative scheme in a uniformly convex Banach space. Further we also prove that in a uniformly convex Banach space with the dual having Kadec-Klee property, the composite implicit iteration process converges weakly to a common fixed point of a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense. Our results extend several existing results.

**Key Words and Phrases:** composite implicit iteration process with errors; asymptotically non-expansive mapping in the intermediate sense; Opial's condition; Kadec-Klee property; uniformly convex Banach space; common fixed point; condition( $\overline{B}$ ); weak and strong convergence.

**2010 Mathematics Subject Classifications:** 47H10

---

### 1. Introduction

Let  $X$  be a normed space,  $C$  be a nonempty subset of  $X$  and let  $T : C \rightarrow C$  be a given mapping. Then  $T$  is said to be asymptotically nonexpansive if there exists a sequence  $\{k_n\}$  in  $[0, \infty)$  with  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} k_n = 0$  such that

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq (1 + k_n)\|x - y\|, \text{ for all } x, y \in C \text{ and each } n \geq 1.$$

If  $k_n \equiv 1$  then  $T$  is known as a nonexpansive mapping. The weaker definition [10] requires that

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x, y \in C} (\|T^n x - T^n y\| - \|x - y\|) \leq 0$$

for every  $x \in C$  and that  $T^N$  be continuous for some  $N \geq 1$ .

Bruck et al.[1] gave a definition which is somewhere between these two :  $T$  is called

---

\*Corresponding author.

asymptotically nonexpansive mapping in the intermediate sense [1] provided  $T$  is uniformly continuous and

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \sup_{x, y \in C} (\|T^n x - T^n y\| - \|x - y\|) \leq 0.$$

$T$  is said to be uniformly  $L$ -Lipschitzian if there exists a constant  $L > 0$  such that

$$\|T^n x - T^n y\| \leq L\|x - y\|, \text{ for all } x, y \in C \text{ and each } n \geq 1.$$

The above definitions make it clear that asymptotically nonexpansive mapping must be asymptotically nonexpansive mapping in the intermediate sense and uniformly  $L$ -Lipschitzian mapping, but the converse need not be true:

Example [9]: Let  $X = R, C = [-\frac{1}{\pi}, \frac{1}{\pi}]$  and  $|k| < 1$ . For each  $x \in C$ , define

$$T(x) = \begin{cases} kx \sin \frac{1}{x} & \text{if } x \neq 0 \\ 0 & \text{if } x = 0. \end{cases}$$

Then  $T$  is asymptotically nonexpansive mapping in the intermediate sense, but it is not asymptotically nonexpansive mapping.

In 2001, Xu and Ori[20] introduced the following implicit iteration process for a finite family of  $N$  nonexpansive self mappings  $\{T_i : i \in I\}$  of  $C$  (here  $I = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ ) with  $\{t_n\}$  a real sequence in  $(0, 1)$  and an initial point  $x_0 \in C$  which is defined as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 = t_1 x_0 + (1 - t_1) T_1 x_1 \\ x_2 = t_2 x_1 + (1 - t_2) T_2 x_2 \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ x_N = t_N x_{N-1} + (1 - t_N) T_N x_N \\ x_{N+1} = t_{N+1} x_N + (1 - t_{N+1}) T_1 x_{N+1} \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ x_{2N} = t_{2N} x_{2N-1} + (1 - t_{2N}) T_N x_{2N} \\ x_{2N+1} = t_{2N+1} x_{2N} + (1 - t_{2N+1}) T_1 x_{2N+1} \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \right.$$

The above process can be written in the compact form as:

$$x_n = t_n x_{n-1} + (1 - t_n) T_n x_n, n \geq 1, \quad (1)$$

where  $T_n = T_{n \bmod N}$ . Xu and Ori they[20] proved the weak convergence of the process (1) to a common fixed point in the setting of a Hilbert space. Zhou and Chang [21] studied the modified implicit iteration with errors for a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings which in compact form can be written as

$$x_n = \alpha_n x_{n-1} + \beta_n T_{n(\bmod N)}^n x_n + \gamma_n u_n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (2)$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}$  are real sequences in  $[0, 1]$  satisfying  $\alpha_n + \beta_n + \gamma_n = 1$  and  $\{u_n\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $C$ . Chang et al.[3] defined an implicit iteration process with error by

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 = \alpha_1 x_0 + (1 - \alpha_1)T_1 x_1 + v_1, \\ x_2 = \alpha_2 x_1 + (1 - \alpha_2)T_2 x_2 + v_2, \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ x_N = \alpha_N x_{N-1} + (1 - \alpha_N)T_N x_N + v_N, \\ x_{N+1} = \alpha_{N+1} x_N + (1 - \alpha_{N+1})T_1^2 x_{N+1} + v_{N+1}, \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \\ x_{2N} = \alpha_{2N} x_{2N-1} + (1 - \alpha_{2N})T_N^2 x_{2N} + v_{2N}, \\ x_{2N+1} = \alpha_{2N+1} x_{2N} + (1 - \alpha_{2N+1})T_1^3 x_{2N+1} + v_{2N+1}, \\ \cdot \\ \cdot \end{array} \right. \quad (3)$$

For each  $n \geq 1$  we have  $n = (k - 1)N + i$ , where  $i = i(n) \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}, k = k(n) \geq 1$  is a positive integer and  $k(n) \rightarrow \infty$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Then (3) can be written in the following compact form:

$$x_n = \alpha_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_n)T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n + v_n, \quad n \geq 1, \quad (4)$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\}$  is a real sequence in  $[0, 1]$  and  $\{v_n\}$  is a bounded sequence in  $C$  where  $C$  is a nonempty closed convex subset of  $E$  satisfying  $C + C \subset C$ . Very recently Su and Li [15] introduced composite implicit iteration process for a finite family of strictly pseudocontractive maps which is defined as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_n = \alpha_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \alpha_n)T_n y_n \\ y_n = \beta_n x_{n-1} + (1 - \beta_n)T_n x_n, \end{array} \right. \quad (5)$$

where  $T_n = T_{n \pmod N}$  and  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$  are real sequences in  $[0, 1]$ . Also Thakur [18] introduced the following composite implicit iteration process for a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_1 = x \in C \\ x_n = (1 - \alpha_n)x_{n-1} + \alpha_n T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n \\ y_n = (1 - \beta_n)x_{n-1} + \beta_n T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n, \end{array} \right. \quad n \geq 1, \quad (6)$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}$  are sequences in  $[0, 1]$ .

Recently Cianciaruso et al.[5] introduced the following implicit iteration process with errors for a finite family of  $N$  self asymptotically nonexpansive mappings which is defined as follows:

$$\left\{ \begin{array}{l} x_n = (1 - \alpha_n - \gamma_n)x_{n-1} + \alpha_n T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n + \gamma_n u_n \\ y_n = (1 - \beta_n - \delta_n)x_n + \beta_n T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n + \delta_n v_n, \end{array} \right. \quad n \geq 1, \quad (7)$$

where  $\{\alpha_n\}, \{\beta_n\}, \{\gamma_n\}, \{\delta_n\}$  are sequences in  $[0, 1]$  with  $\alpha_n + \gamma_n \leq 1, \beta_n + \delta_n \leq 1$  and  $\{u_n\}, \{v_n\}$  are bounded sequences in  $C$ . It is called composite implicit iteration process with errors. For  $\gamma_n = \delta_n = 0$ , (7) reduces to (6).

To proceed we shall need the following well known definitions and lemmas:

A Banach space  $X$  is said to satisfy Opial's condition[11] if  $x_n \rightharpoonup x$  (i.e.  $x_n \rightarrow x$  weakly) and  $x \neq y$  imply

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\|.$$

A Banach space  $X$  is said to satisfy  $\tau$ -Opial condition[1] if for every bounded  $\{x_n\} \in X$  that  $\tau$ -converges to  $x \in X$  it holds

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| < \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y\|$$

for every  $x \neq y$ , where  $\tau$  is a Hausdorff linear topology on  $X$ .

A Banach space  $X$  has the uniform  $\tau$ -Opial property[1] if for each  $c > 0$  there exists  $r > 0$  with the property that for each  $x \in X$  and each sequence  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $\{x_n\}$  is  $\tau$ -convergent to 0 and

$$1 \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| < \infty, \|x\| \geq c$$

it holds  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x\| \geq 1 + r$ . Clearly uniform  $\tau$ -Opial condition implies  $\tau$ -Opial condition. Note that a uniformly convex space which has the  $\tau$ -Opial property necessarily has the uniform  $\tau$ -Opial property, where  $\tau$  is a Hausdorff linear topology on  $X$ .

Let  $T$  be a self-mapping of a nonempty subset  $C$  of a Banach space  $X$ . A sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $C$  is called an almost orbit[6] of  $T$  if  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\sup_{m \geq 0} \|x_{n+m} - T^m x_n\|] = 0$

A Banach space  $X$  is said to satisfy Kadec-Klee property, if for every sequence  $\{x_n\} \in X, x_n \rightharpoonup x$  and  $\|x_n\| \rightarrow \|x\|$  together imply that  $x_n \rightarrow x$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . There are uniformly convex Banach spaces which neither have a Frèchet differentiable norm nor satisfy Opial's property but their duals do have the Kadec-Klee property (see [6],[8]).

Also we recall that a mapping  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is called semi-compact[16] if for any sequence  $\{x_n\}$  in  $C$  such that  $\|x_n - Tx_n\| \rightarrow 0$  (as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ ), there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_i}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n_i} \rightarrow x^* \in C$ .

**Lemma 1.1.** ([17], Lemma 1) *Let  $\{a_n\}, \{b_n\}$  and  $\{\delta_n\}$  be sequences of nonnegative real numbers satisfying the inequality*

$$a_{n+1} \leq (1 + \delta_n)a_n + b_n, \forall n \geq 1.$$

*If  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n < \infty$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} b_n < \infty$ , then*

(i)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n$  exists,

(ii)  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$  whenever  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} a_n = 0$ .

**Lemma 1.2.** ([1]) *Suppose a Banach space  $X$  has the uniform  $\tau$ -Opial property,  $C$  is a norm bounded, sequentially  $\tau$ -compact subset of  $X$  and  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is asymptotically nonexpansive in the weak sense. If  $\{y_n\}$  is a sequence in  $C$  such that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - z\|$  exists for each fixed point  $z$  of  $T$  and if  $\{y_n - T^k y_n\}$  is  $\tau$ -convergent to 0 for each  $k \in \mathbb{N}$ , then  $\{y_n\}$  is  $\tau$ -convergent to a fixed point of  $T$ .*

**Lemma 1.3.** ([13]) *Suppose that  $X$  is a uniformly convex Banach space and  $0 < a \leq t_n \leq b < 1$  for all positive integers  $n$ . Also suppose that  $\{x_n\}$  and  $\{y_n\}$  are two sequences in  $X$  such that  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n\| \leq r$ ,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n\| \leq r$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|t_n x_n + (1 - t_n) y_n\| = r$  hold for some  $r \geq 0$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - y_n\| = 0$ .*

**Lemma 1.4.** ([6], Theorem 5.3) *Let  $X$  be a uniformly convex Banach space such that  $X^*$  has the Kadec-Klee property and let  $C$  be a nonempty bounded closed convex subset of  $X$ . Suppose  $T : C \rightarrow C$  is asymptotically nonexpansive mapping in the intermediate sense and  $\{x_n\}$  is an almost orbit of  $T$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  is weakly convergent to a fixed point of  $T$  if and only if  $w - \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} (x_n - x_{n+1}) = 0$ .*

Now we recall some well-known definitions:

A mapping  $T : K \rightarrow K$  with nonempty fixed point set  $F(T)$  in  $K$  satisfies **Condition (I)** [14] if there is a nondecreasing function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(r) > 0$  for all  $r \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$f(d(x, F(T))) \leq \|x - Tx\| \text{ for all } x \in K.$$

A finite family of mappings  $T_i : K \rightarrow K$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, 3, \dots, N$  with nonempty fixed point set  $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$  satisfies

**Condition( $\overline{A}$ )**[4] if there is a nondecreasing function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(r) > 0$  for all  $r \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$f(d(x, F)) \leq \frac{1}{N} \left( \sum_{i=1}^N \|x - T_i x\| \right) \text{ for all } x \in K,$$

**Condition( $\overline{B}$ )**[4] if there is a nondecreasing function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(r) > 0$  for all  $r \in (0, \infty)$  such that

$$f(d(x, F)) \leq \max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \{ \|x - T_i x\| \} \text{ for all } x \in K,$$

**Condition( $\overline{C}$ )**[4] if there is a nondecreasing function  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  with  $f(0) = 0$  and  $f(r) > 0$  for all  $r \in (0, \infty)$  such that at least one of the  $T_i$ 's satisfies condition (I) (i.e.  $f(d(x, F(T))) \leq \|x - T_i x\|$  for at least one  $T_i, i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ ).

Clearly, if  $T_i = T$ , for all  $i = 1, 2, \dots, N$ , then Condition( $\overline{A}$ ) reduces to Condition(I). Also Condition( $\overline{B}$ ) reduces to Condition(I) if all but one of  $T_i$ 's are identities. Also it contains Condition( $\overline{A}$ ). Furthermore, Condition( $\overline{C}$ ) and Condition( $\overline{B}$ ) are equivalent (see [4]). It is well known that every continuous and demicompact mapping must satisfy

Condition(I)[14]. Since every completely continuous mapping is continuous and demi-compact so it must satisfy Condition(I). Therefore to study the strong convergence of the iterative sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (7) we use Condition( $\bar{B}$ ) instead of the complete continuity of the mappings  $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}$ .

Recently convergence problems of an nonimplicit iteration process to a common fixed point for a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense in uniformly convex Banach spaces have been considered by several authors (see [1], [9], [12], [2]). The purpose of this paper is to study the weak and strong convergence of the composite implicit iterative sequence  $\{x_n\}$  defined by (7) to a common fixed point for a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense in Banach spaces.

## 2. Main Results

We begin this section with the following lemmas. Throughout this section we denote  $\{1, 2, \dots, N\}$  by  $I$ .

**Lemma 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $X$ . Let  $\{T_i : i \in I\}$  be a finite family of  $N$  asymptotically nonexpansive self-mappings of  $C$  in the intermediate sense. Set*

$$d_n = \max\{\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \sup_{x, y \in C} (\|T_i^n x - T_i^n y\| - \|x - y\|), 0\} \forall n \geq 1,$$

where  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n < \infty$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence as defined in (7) with  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n < 1$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n < \infty$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . If  $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$  then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$  exists for all  $p \in F$ .

**Proof:** Let  $p \in F$ . Since  $\{u_n\}, \{v_n\}$  are bounded sequences in  $C$ , let  $M = \sup_{n \geq 1} \|u_n - p\| \vee \sup_{n \geq 1} \|v_n - p\|$ . Obviously  $M < \infty$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|y_n - p\| &= \|(1 - \beta_n - \delta_n)x_n + \beta_n T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n + \delta_n v_n - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n - \delta_n)\|x_n - p\| + \beta_n \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n - p\| + \delta_n \|v_n - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - \beta_n - \delta_n)\|x_n - p\| + \beta_n \|x_n - p\| + \beta_n d_{k(n)} + \delta_n M \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\| + d_{k(n)} + \delta_n M, \end{aligned} \tag{8}$$

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - p\| &= \|(1 - \alpha_n - \gamma_n)x_{n-1} + \alpha_n T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n + \gamma_n u_n - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n - \gamma_n)\|x_{n-1} - p\| + \alpha_n \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n - p\| + \gamma_n \|u_n - p\| \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_{n-1} - p\| + \alpha_n \|y_n - p\| + \alpha_n d_{k(n)} + \gamma_n M \\ &\leq (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_{n-1} - p\| + \alpha_n [\|x_n - p\| + d_{k(n)} + \delta_n M] + \\ &\quad \alpha_n d_{k(n)} + \gamma_n M \\ &= (1 - \alpha_n)\|x_{n-1} - p\| + \alpha_n \|x_n - p\| + 2\alpha_n d_{k(n)} + \end{aligned}$$

$$(\delta_n + \gamma_n)M,$$

which implies that

$$\|x_n - p\| \leq \|x_{n-1} - p\| + \frac{2\alpha_n}{1 - \alpha_n}d_{k(n)} + \frac{M}{1 - \alpha_n}(\delta_n + \gamma_n).$$

Since  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n < 1$ , there exists  $\beta < 1$  such that  $\alpha_n < \beta$  for big  $n$ . So from above it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - p\| &\leq \|x_{n-1} - p\| + \frac{2\beta}{1 - \beta}d_{k(n)} + \frac{M}{1 - \beta}(\delta_n + \gamma_n) \\ &= \|x_{n-1} - p\| + \sigma_n, \end{aligned} \tag{9}$$

where  $\sigma_n = \frac{2\beta}{1 - \beta}d_{k(n)} + \frac{M}{1 - \beta}(\delta_n + \gamma_n)$ . Now  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n < \infty$ . Hence by Lemma 1.1 we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$  exists for all  $p \in F$ .

**Theorem 2.1.** *Let  $X$  be a Banach space,  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $X$ . Let  $\{T_i : i \in I\}$  be a finite family of  $N$  asymptotically nonexpansive self-mappings of  $C$  in the intermediate sense. Set*

$$d_n = \max\{\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \sup_{x,y \in C} (\|T_i^n x - T_i^n y\| - \|x - y\|), 0\} \forall n \geq 1,$$

where  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n < \infty$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence as defined in (7) with  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n < 1$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty, \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n < \infty$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . If  $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a common fixed point of  $\{T_i : i \in I\}$  if and only if  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$ .

**Proof:** The necessary part is trivial. We only prove sufficient part. From (9) we have

$$\|x_n - p\| \leq \|x_{n-1} - p\| + \sigma_n.$$

Taking infimum over all  $p \in F$ , we have

$$d(x_n, F) \leq d(x_{n-1}, F) + \sigma_n.$$

Hence by Lemma 1.1 we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F)$  exists. Since  $\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$ , we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$ . Now

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_{n+m} - p\| &\leq \|x_{n+m-1} - p\| + \sigma_{n+m} \\ &\leq \|x_{n+m-2} - p\| + \sigma_{n+m-1} + \sigma_{n+m} \\ &\dots\dots\dots \\ &\leq \|x_n - p\| + \sum_{k=n+1}^{n+m} \sigma_k. \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \sigma_n < \infty$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$ , there exists  $N_1 \in N$  such that for all  $n \geq N_1$  we have  $d(x_n, F) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$  and  $\sum_{n=N_1}^{\infty} \sigma_n < \frac{\epsilon}{6}$ . Therefore there exists  $q \in F$  such that  $d(x_{N_1}, q) < \frac{\epsilon}{3}$ . From above we get

$$\|x_{n+m} - x_n\| \leq \|x_{n+m} - q\| + \|x_n - q\|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&< \|x_{N_1} - q\| + \sum_{k=N_1+1}^{n+m} \sigma_k + \|x_{N_1} - q\| + \sum_{k=N_1+1}^n \sigma_k \\
&< \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{6} + \frac{\epsilon}{3} + \frac{\epsilon}{6} = \epsilon.
\end{aligned}$$

Hence  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. Let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$ . Since  $C$  is closed, so  $x^* \in C$ . Since  $T_i$ 's are uniformly continuous, so  $F(T_i)$ 's are closed for all  $i \in I$  which in turn implies that  $F$  is closed. Now note that

$$|d(x^*, F) - d(x_n, F)| \leq \|x^* - x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ for all } n. \quad (10)$$

Since  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} x_n = x^*$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$ , it follows from above that  $d(x^*, F) = 0$ , that is  $x^* \in F$ . Thus  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a common fixed point of  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N$ .

**Lemma 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a uniformly convex Banach space,  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $X$ . Let  $\{T_i : i \in I\}$  be a finite family of  $N$  asymptotically nonexpansive self-mappings of  $C$  in the intermediate sense. Put*

$$d_n = \max\{\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \sup_{x, y \in C} (\|T_i^n x - T_i^n y\| - \|x - y\|), 0\} \forall n \geq 1,$$

where  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n < \infty$ . Suppose that  $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be the sequence as defined in (7) with  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n < 1$ ,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n < \infty$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_l x_n\| = 0$  for all  $l \in I$ .

**Proof:** Let  $p \in F$ . Then by Lemma 2.1,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$  exists for all  $p \in F$ . Let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\| = d$ , for some  $d \geq 0$ . So  $\{x_n\}$  is bounded. Since  $\{u_n\}, \{v_n\}$  are bounded so  $\{u_n - x_{n-1}\}, \{v_n - x_{n-1}\}$  are also bounded. Now

$$\begin{aligned}
\|x_n - p\| &= \|(1 - \alpha_n - \gamma_n)x_{n-1} + \alpha_n T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n + \gamma_n u_n - p\| \\
&= \|(1 - \alpha_n)(x_{n-1} - p + \gamma_n(u_n - x_{n-1})) + \alpha_n(T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n - p + \gamma_n(u_n - x_{n-1}))\|
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\|x_{n-1} - p + \gamma_n(u_n - x_{n-1})\| \leq \|x_{n-1} - p\| + \gamma_n \|u_n - x_{n-1}\|. \quad (11)$$

Taking limsup on the both sides of (11) we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n-1} - p + \gamma_n(u_n - x_{n-1})\| \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n-1} - p\| + \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \gamma_n \|u_n - x_{n-1}\| = d. \quad (12)$$

Again

$$\begin{aligned}
&\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n - p + \gamma_n(u_n - x_{n-1})\| \\
&\leq \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n - p\| + \gamma_n \|u_n - x_{n-1}\| \leq \|y_n - p\| + d_{k(n)} + \gamma_n \|u_n - x_{n-1}\|. \quad (13)
\end{aligned}$$



Now from (8) we get

$$\|y_n - p\| \leq \|x_n - p\| + d_{k(n)} + \delta_n M. \quad (14)$$

Taking limsup on the both sides of (14) we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|y_n - p\| \leq d. \quad (15)$$

Thus from (13) and (15) we get

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n - p + \gamma_n(u_n - x_{n-1})\| \leq d. \quad (16)$$

Now

$$\begin{aligned} d &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\| \\ &= \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|(1 - \alpha_n)(x_{n-1} - p + \gamma_n(u_n - x_{n-1})) \\ &\quad + \alpha_n(T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n - p + \gamma_n(u_n - x_{n-1}))\|. \end{aligned} \quad (17)$$

As  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n < 1$ , there exist  $a, b \in (0, 1)$  such that  $0 < a \leq \alpha_n \leq b < 1$  for big  $n$ . Therefore by using Lemma 1.3 and (12), (16) and (17) we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n - x_{n-1}\| = 0. \quad (18)$$

Again from (7) and (18) it follows that

$$\begin{aligned} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| &= \|(1 - \alpha_n - \gamma_n)x_{n-1} + \alpha_n T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n + \gamma_n u_n - x_{n-1}\| \\ &\leq \alpha_n \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n - x_{n-1}\| + \gamma_n \|u_n - x_{n-1}\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \end{aligned} \quad (19)$$

So we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x_{n+l}\| = 0 \text{ for all } l \in I. \quad (20)$$

Since

$$\|x_n - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \|x_{n-1} - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n\|,$$

by (18) and (19) we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n\| = 0. \quad (21)$$

Now

$$\|y_n - x_n\| = \|(1 - \beta_n - \delta_n)x_n + \beta_n T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n + \delta_n v_n - x_n\|$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&\leq \beta_n \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n - x_n\| + \delta_n \|v_n - x_n\| \\
&\leq \beta_n (\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} x_n - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n\| + \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n - x_{n-1}\| + \|x_{n-1} - x_n\|) \\
&\quad + \delta_n \|v_n - x_n\| \\
&\leq \beta_n (\|x_n - y_n\| + d_{k(n)} + \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n - x_{n-1}\| + \|x_{n-1} - x_n\|) \\
&\quad + \delta_n \|v_n - x_n\|,
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_n - x_n\| &\leq \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \beta_n} d_{k(n)} + \frac{\beta_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n - x_{n-1}\| \\
&\quad + \frac{\delta_n}{1 - \beta_n} \|v_n - x_{n-1}\|. \tag{22}
\end{aligned}$$

As  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$ , there exists  $\beta < 1$  such that  $\beta_n < \beta$  for big  $n$ . So from (22) and by using (19), (18) we get

$$\begin{aligned}
\|y_n - x_n\| &\leq \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} d_{k(n)} + \\
&\quad + \frac{\beta}{1 - \beta} \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n - x_{n-1}\| + \frac{\delta_n}{1 - \beta} \|v_n - x_{n-1}\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{23}
\end{aligned}$$

Now

$$\|x_{n-1} - T_n x_n\| \leq \|x_{n-1} - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n\| + \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n - T_n x_n\| = \sigma_n + \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n - T_n x_n\|, \tag{24}$$

where  $\sigma_n = \|x_{n-1} - T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n\|$ . From (18) we have  $\sigma_n \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since for each  $n > N, n = (n - N) \pmod{N}$  and  $n = (k(n) - 1)N + i(n), i(n) \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$ , we have  $k(n - N) = k(n) - 1$  and  $i(n - N) = i(n)$ . Then

$$\begin{aligned}
\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)-1} y_n - x_{n-1}\| &\leq \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)-1} y_n - T_{i(n-N)}^{k(n)-1} x_{n-N}\| + \|T_{i(n-N)}^{k(n)-1} x_{n-N} - T_{i(n-N)}^{k(n)-1} y_{n-N}\| \\
&\quad + \|T_{i(n-N)}^{k(n)-1} y_{n-N} - x_{(n-N)-1}\| + \|x_{(n-N)-1} - x_{n-1}\| \\
&\leq \|T_{i(n-N)}^{k(n-N)} y_n - T_{i(n-N)}^{k(n-N)} x_{n-N}\| + \|T_{i(n-N)}^{k(n-N)} x_{n-N} - T_{i(n-N)}^{k(n-N)} y_{n-N}\| \\
&\quad + \|T_{i(n-N)}^{k(n-N)} y_{n-N} - x_{(n-N)-1}\| + \|x_{(n-N)-1} - x_{n-1}\| \\
&\leq \|y_n - x_{n-N}\| + d_{k(n-N)} + \|x_{n-N} - y_{n-N}\| + d_{k(n-N)} + \sigma_{n-N} \\
&\quad + \|x_{(n-N)-1} - x_{n-1}\| \\
&\leq \|y_n - x_n\| + \|x_n - x_{n-N}\| + \|x_{n-N} - y_{n-N}\| + 2d_{k(n-N)} + \sigma_{n-N} \\
&\quad + \|x_{(n-N)-1} - x_n\| + \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \tag{25}
\end{aligned}$$

Since every  $T_i$  is uniformly continuous, it follows from (25) that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n - T_n x_{n-1}\| = 0. \tag{26}$$

Again by uniform continuity of the mappings and by (19) and (26) it follows that

$$\|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n - T_n x_n\| \leq \|T_{i(n)}^{k(n)} y_n - T_n x_{n-1}\| + \|T_n x_{n-1} - T_n x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (27)$$

From (24) and (27) it follows that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n-1} - T_n x_n\| = 0. \quad (28)$$

From (19) and (28) we get

$$\|x_n - T_n x_n\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n-1}\| + \|x_{n-1} - T_n x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (29)$$

Now for all  $l \in I$

$$\|x_n - T_{n+l} x_n\| \leq \|x_n - x_{n+l}\| + \|x_{n+l} - T_{n+l} x_{n+l}\| + \|T_{n+l} x_{n+l} - T_{n+l} x_n\|. \quad (30)$$

So by (30), (29) and (20) and uniform continuity of the mappings, it follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_{n+l} x_n\| = 0$ , for all  $l \in I$ . Consequently we have

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_l x_n\| = 0, \text{ for } l \in I. \quad (31)$$

This completes the proof of the Lemma.

**Theorem 2.2.** *Let  $X$  be a uniformly convex Banach space,  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $X$ . Let  $\{T_i : i \in I\}$  be a finite family of  $N$  asymptotically nonexpansive self-mappings of  $C$  in the intermediate sense. Set*

$$d_n = \max\{\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \sup_{x, y \in C} (\|T_i^n x - T_i^n y\| - \|x - y\|), 0\} \forall n \geq 1,$$

where  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n < \infty$ . Suppose that  $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be defined by (7) with  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n < 1$ ,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n < \infty$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . If  $\{T_i : i \in I\}$  satisfies Condition( $\bar{B}$ ), then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a common fixed point of  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N$ .

**Proof:** By Lemma 2.1,  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$  exists for all  $p \in F$ . Let  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\| = d$ , for some  $d \geq 0$ . If  $d = 0$  then there is nothing to prove. Let  $d > 0$ . Now by Lemma 2.2 we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_l x_n\| = 0$ , for all  $l \in I$ . As in the proof of Theorem 2.1, we have that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F)$  exists. Again as  $\{T_i : i \in I\}$  satisfies Condition( $\bar{B}$ ), we have that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} f(d(x_n, F)) = 0$ . Since  $f : [0, \infty) \rightarrow [0, \infty)$  is a nondecreasing function with  $f(0) = 0$  and  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F)$  exists, we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$ . Then the theorem follows from Theorem 2.1.

**Theorem 2.3.** *Let  $X$  be a uniformly convex Banach space,  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $X$ . Let  $\{T_i : i \in I\}$  be a finite family of  $N$  asymptotically nonexpansive self-mappings of  $C$  in the intermediate sense. Set*

$$d_n = \max\{\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \sup_{x, y \in C} (\|T_i^n x - T_i^n y\| - \|x - y\|), 0\} \forall n \geq 1,$$

where  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n < \infty$ . Suppose that  $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be defined by (7) with  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n < 1$ ,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n < \infty$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . If any one of the mappings  $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}$  is semi-compact, then  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a common fixed point of  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N$ .

**Proof:** By hypothesis, there exists one mapping, say  $T_1$ , of  $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}$  which is semicompact. Now by Lemma 2.2 we have  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_l x_n\| = 0$ , for all  $l \in I$ . Therefore  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_1 x_n\| = 0$ , and since  $T_1$  is semicompact, there exists a subsequence  $\{x_{n_j}\}$  of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n_j} \rightarrow x^* \in C$ . From (31) we get

$$\|x^* - T_l x^*\| = \lim_{n_j \rightarrow \infty} \|x_{n_j} - T_l x_{n_j}\| = 0, \text{ for all } l \in \{1, 2, \dots, N\}. \quad (32)$$

From (32) it follows that  $x^* \in F$ . By Lemma 2.1  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - p\|$  exists for all  $p \in F$ . Since  $x^* \in F$ , so  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - x^*\|$  exists. Again since  $\{x_{n_j}\}$  is a subsequence of  $\{x_n\}$  such that  $x_{n_j} \rightarrow x^*$ , so it follows that  $x_n \rightarrow x^*$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Thus  $\{x_n\}$  converges strongly to a common fixed point of  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N$ .

**Theorem 2.4.** Let  $X$  be a uniformly convex Banach space satisfying Opial's condition,  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $X$ . Let  $\{T_i : i \in I\}$  be a finite family of  $N$  asymptotically nonexpansive self-mappings of  $C$  in the intermediate sense. Set

$$d_n = \max\{\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \sup_{x, y \in C} (\|T_i^n x - T_i^n y\| - \|x - y\|), 0\} \forall n \geq 1,$$

where  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n < \infty$ . Suppose that  $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be defined by (7) with  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n < 1$ ,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n < \infty$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . Then  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a common fixed point of  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N$ .

**Proof:** By Lemma 2.2 we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_l x_n\| = 0$ , for all  $l \in I$ . So by the uniform continuity of  $T_1$  we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_1^m x_n\| = 0$  for all  $m \in N$ . Then by applying Lemma 1.2 with the  $\tau$ -topology taken as a weak topology we get the following conclusion: By Lemma 1.2 there exists  $z_1 \in F(T_1)$  such that  $x_n \rightharpoonup z_1$  ( $x_n \rightarrow z_1$  weakly) as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Similarly by Lemma 1.2 there exists  $z_2 \in F(T_2)$  such that  $x_n \rightharpoonup z_2$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and  $z_3 \in F(T_3)$  such that  $x_n \rightharpoonup z_3$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$  and .....  $z_N \in F(T_N)$  such that  $x_n \rightharpoonup z_N$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . Since weak limit is unique so we must have  $z_1 = z_2 = z_3 = \dots = z_N \in \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) = F$ . Thus  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a common fixed point of  $T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N$ . This completes the proof.

**Theorem 2.5.** Let  $X$  be a uniformly convex Banach space such that  $X^*$  has the Kadec-Klee property and  $C$  be a nonempty closed convex subset of  $X$ . Let  $\{T_i : i \in I\}$  be a finite family of  $N$  asymptotically nonexpansive self-mappings of  $C$  in the intermediate sense. Set

$$d_n = \max\{\max_{1 \leq i \leq N} \sup_{x, y \in C} (\|T_i^n x - T_i^n y\| - \|x - y\|), 0\} \forall n \geq 1,$$

where  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} d_n < \infty$ . Suppose that  $F = \bigcap_{i=1}^N F(T_i) \neq \emptyset$ . Let  $\{x_n\}$  be defined by (7) with  $0 < \liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n \leq \limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \alpha_n < 1$ ,  $\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \beta_n < 1$  and  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \gamma_n < \infty$ ,  $\sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \delta_n < \infty$  for all  $n \geq 1$ . If  $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}$  satisfy Condition  $(\overline{B})$ , then  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to some common fixed point of  $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}$ .

**Proof:** By Lemma 2.2 we get  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_i x_n\| = 0$ , for all  $i \in I$ . So by the uniform continuity of  $T_i$  we get

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \|x_n - T_i^m x_n\| = 0 \text{ for any } m \geq 1. \quad (33)$$

Since  $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}$  satisfy Condition  $(\overline{B})$ , so as in the proof of Theorem 2.2 it follows that  $\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} d(x_n, F) = 0$ . Then, as shown in the proof of Theorem 2.1, it follows that  $\{x_n\}$  is a Cauchy sequence. So for any  $m \in N$  we have

$$\|x_{n+m} - x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty. \quad (34)$$

From (33) and (34) we get

$$\|x_{n+m} - T_i^m x_n\| \rightarrow 0 \text{ as } n \rightarrow \infty,$$

which in other words implies that

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} [\sup_{m \geq 0} \|x_{n+m} - T_i^m x_n\|] = 0. \quad (35)$$

So from (35) it follows that  $\{x_n\}$  is almost orbit of  $T_i$  for all  $i \in I$ . Also from (19) we have that  $\|x_{n+1} - x_n\| \rightarrow 0$  as  $n \rightarrow \infty$ . So  $\{x_{n+1} - x_n\}$  is strongly convergent to 0. Therefore  $\{x_{n+1} - x_n\}$  is weakly convergent to 0. Thus by Lemma 1.4 we conclude that  $\{x_n\}$  is weakly convergent to a fixed point of  $T_i$ . Since weak limit is unique so we must have that  $\{x_n\}$  converges weakly to a common fixed point of  $\{T_1, T_2, \dots, T_N\}$ . This completes the proof.

**Remark 2.1.** Our results generalize results of [5], Theorem 3.4 of [16].

## References

- [1] R.E.Bruck, T.Kuczumow and S.Reich; Convergence of iterates of asymptotically non-expansive mappings in Banach spaces with uniform Opial property , Colloq. Math. 65(1993), 169-179.
- [2] S.Banerjee, B.S.Choudhury, Weak and Strong convergence criteria of modified Noor iterations for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in the intermediate sense, Bull. Korean Math. Soc. 44(2007), No.3, pp. 493-506.
- [3] S.S.Chang, K.K.Tan, H.W.J.Lee, Chi Kin Chan, On the convergence of implicit iteration process with error for a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, J. Math. Anal. Appl. 313(2006)273-283.

- [4] C.E.Chidume, B.Ali, Weak and strong convergence theorems for finite families of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 330(2007)377-387.
- [5] F.Cianciaruso, G.Marino, X.Wang, Weak and strong convergence of the Ishikawa iterative process for finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, *Appl. Math. Comput.* 216(2010)3558-3567.
- [6] J.G.Falset, W.Kaczor, T.Kuczumow, S.Reich, Weak convergence theorems for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings and semigroups, *Nonlinear Anal.* 43(2001)377-401.
- [7] K.Goebel and W.A.Kirk; A fixed point theorem for asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 35(1972), 171-174.
- [8] W.Kaczor, Weak convergence of almost orbits of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, *J.Math.Anal.Appl.* 272(2002)565-574.
- [9] G.E.Kim, T.H.Kim; Mann and Ishikawa iterations with errors for non-Lipschitzian mappings in Banach spaces, *Comput. Math. Appl.* 42(2001)1565-1570.
- [10] W.A.Kirk; Fixed point theorems for non-Lipschitzian mappings of asymptotically nonexpansive type, *Israel J. Math.* 17(1974), 339-346.
- [11] Z.Opial, Weak convergence of the sequence of successive approximation for nonexpansive mappings, *Bull. Amer. Math. soc.* 73(1967)591-597.
- [12] S.Plubtieng, R.Wangkeeree; Strong convergences theorems for multi-step Noor iterations with errors in Banach spaces, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 321(2006)10-23.
- [13] J.Schu, Weak and strong convergence of fixed points of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings, *Bull. Austral. Math. Soc.* 43(1991)153-159.
- [14] H.F.Senter, W.G.Dotson, Jr., Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mappings, *Proc. Amer. Math. Soc.* 44,375-380,(1974).
- [15] Y.Su, S.Li, Composite implicit iteration process for common fixed points of a finite family of strictly pseudocontractive maps, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 320(2006)882-891.
- [16] Z.H.Sun, Strong convergence of an implicit iteration process for a finite family of asymptotically quasi-nonexpansive mappings, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 286(2003)351-358.
- [17] K.K.Tan, H.K.Hu, Approximating fixed points of nonexpansive mapping by the Ishikawa iteration process, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 178(1993)301-308.
- [18] B.S.Thakur, Weak and strong convergence of composite implicit iteration process, *Appl. Math. Comp.* 190(2007)965-973.
- [19] Y.Xu, Ishikawa and Mann iterative process with errors for nonlinear strongly accretive operator equations, *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* 224, 91-101(1998).

- [20] H.K.Xu, R.G.Ori, An implicit iteration process for nonexpansive mappings, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 22(2001)767-773.
- [21] Y.Zhou, S.S.Chang, Convergence of implicit iteration process for a finite family of asymptotically nonexpansive mappings in Banach space, *Numer. Funct. Anal. Optim.* 23(2002)911-921.

Shrabani Banerjee,

*Department of Mathematics Bengal Engineering and Science University, Shibpur, Howrah-711103, India.*  
*E-mail: banerjee.shrabani@yahoo.com*

Binayak S.Choudhury

*Department of Mathematics Bengal Engineering and Science University, Shibpur, Howrah-711103, India.*  
*E-mail: binayak12@yahoo.co.in*

Received 13 August 2011

Accepted 27 December 2013